                   Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure
            Certificate Policy and Certification Practices Framework

Status of this Memo

Copyright Notice

Abstract

   This document presents a framework to assist the writers of
   certificate policies or certification practice statements for
   participants within public key infrastructures, such as certification
   authorities, policy authorities, and communities of interest that
   wish to rely on certificates. In particular, the framework provides
   a comprehensive list of topics that potentially (at the writer's
   discretion) need to be covered in a certificate policy or a
   certification practice statement. This document supersedes RFC 2527.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction

1.1. Background

   In general, a public-key certificate (hereinafter "certificate")
   binds a public key held by an entity (such as person, organization,
   account, device, or site) to a set of information that identifies the
   entity associated with use of the corresponding private key. In most
   cases involving identity certificates, this entity is known as the
   "subject" or "subscriber" of the certificate. Two exceptions,
   however, include devices (in which the subscriber is usually the
   individual or organization controlling the device) and anonymous
   certificates (in which the identity of the individual or organization
   is not available from the certificate itself). Other types of
   certificates bind public keys to attributes of an entity other than
   the entity's identity, such as a role, a title, or creditworthiness
   information.

   A certificate is used by a "certificate user" or "relying party" that
   needs to use, and rely upon the accuracy of, the binding between the
   subject public key distributed via that certificate and the identity
   and/or other attributes of the subject contained in that certificate.
   A relying party is frequently an entity that verifies a digital
   signature from the certificate's subject where the digital signature
   is associated with an email, web form, electronic document, or other
   data. Other examples of relying parties can include a sender of
   encrypted email to the subscriber, a user of a web browser relying on
   a server certificate during a secure sockets layer (SSL) session, and
   an entity operating a server that controls access to online
   information using client certificates as an access control mechanism.
   In summary, a relying party is an entity that uses a public key in a
   certificate (for signature verification and/or encryption). The
   degree to which a relying party can trust the binding embodied in a
   certificate depends on several factors. These factors can include
   the practices followed by the certification authority (CA) in
   authenticating the subject; the CA's operating policy, procedures,
   and security controls; the scope of the subscriber's responsibilities
   (for example, in protecting the private key); and the stated
   responsibilities and liability terms and conditions of the CA (for
   example, warranties, disclaimers of warranties, and limitations of
   liability).

   A Version 3 X.509 certificate may contain a field declaring that one
   or more specific certificate policies apply to that certificate
   [ISO1]. According to X.509, a certificate policy (CP) is "a named
   set of rules that indicates the applicability of a certificate to a
   particular community and/or class of applications with common
   security requirements." A CP may be used by a relying party to help

in deciding whether a certificate, and the binding therein, are
sufficiently trustworthy and otherwise appropriate for a particular
application. The CP concept is an outgrowth of the policy statement
concept developed for Internet Privacy Enhanced Mail [PEM1] and
expanded upon in [BAU1]. The legal and liability aspects presented
in Section 4.9 are outcomes of a collaborative effort between IETF
PKIX working group and the American Bar Association (ABA) members who
have worked on legal acceptance of digital signature and role of PKI
in that acceptance.

A more detailed description of the practices followed by a CA in
issuing and otherwise managing certificates may be contained in a
certification practice statement (CPS) published by or referenced by
the CA. According to the American Bar Association Information
Security Committee's Digital Signature Guidelines (hereinafter
"DSG")(1) and the Information Security Committee's PKI Assessment
Guidelines (hereinafter "PAG")(2), "a CPS is a statement of the
practices which a certification authority employs in issuing
certificates." [ABA1, ABA2] In general, CPSs also describe practices
relating to all certificate lifecycle services (e.g., issuance,
management, revocation, and renewal or re-keying), and CPSs provide
details concerning other business, legal, and technical matters. The
terms contained in a CP or CPS may or may not be binding upon a PKI's
participants as a contract. A CP or CPS may itself purport to be a
contract. More commonly, however, an agreement may incorporate a CP
or CPS by reference and therefore attempt to bind the parties of the
agreement to some or all of its terms. For example, some PKIs may
utilize a CP or (more commonly) a CPS that is incorporated by
reference in the agreement between a subscriber and a CA or RA
(called a "subscriber agreement") or the agreement between a relying
party and a CA (called a "relying party agreement" or "RPA"). In
other cases, however, a CP or CPS has no contractual significance at
all. A PKI may intend these CPs and CPSs to be strictly
informational or disclosure documents.

1.2. Purpose

The purpose of this document is twofold. First, the document aims to
explain the concepts of a CP and a CPS, describe the differences
between these two concepts, and describe their relationship to
subscriber and relying party agreements. Second, this document aims
to present a framework to assist the writers and users of certificate
policies or CPSs in drafting and understanding these documents. In
particular, the framework identifies the elements that may need to be
considered in formulating a CP or a CPS. The purpose is not to
define particular certificate policies or CPSs, per se. Moreover,
this document does not aim to provide legal advice or recommendations

as to particular requirements or practices that should be contained
within CPs or CPSs. (Such recommendations, however, appear in
[ABA2].)

1.3. Scope

The scope of this document is limited to discussion of the topics
that can be covered in a CP (as defined in X.509) or CPS (as defined
in the DSG and PAG). In particular, this document describes the
types of information that should be considered for inclusion in a CP
or a CPS. While the framework as presented generally assumes use of
the X.509 version 3 certificate format for the purpose of providing
assurances of identity, it is not intended that the material be
restricted to use of that certificate format or identity
certificates. Rather, it is intended that this framework be
adaptable to other certificate formats and to certificates providing
assurances other than identity that may come into use.

The scope does not extend to defining security policies generally
(such as organization security policy, system security policy, or
data labeling policy). Further, this document does not define a
specific CP or CPS. Moreover, in presenting a framework, this
document should be viewed and used as a flexible tool presenting
topics that should be considered of particular relevance to CPs or
CPSs, and not as a rigid formula for producing CPs or CPSs.

This document assumes that the reader is familiar with the general
concepts of digital signatures, certificates, and public-key
infrastructure (PKI), as used in X.509, the DSG, and the PAG.

2. Definitions

This document makes use of the following defined terms:

Activation data - Data values, other than keys, that are required to
operate cryptographic modules and that need to be protected (e.g., a
PIN, a passphrase, or a manually-held key share).

Authentication - The process of establishing that individuals,
organizations, or things are who or what they claim to be. In the
context of a PKI, authentication can be the process of establishing
that an individual or organization applying for or seeking access to
something under a certain name is, in fact, the proper individual or
organization. This corresponds to the second process involved with
identification, as shown in the definition of "identification" below.
Authentication can also refer to a security service that provides
assurances that individuals, organizations, or things are who or what

they claim to be or that a message or other data originated from a
specific individual, organization, or device. Thus, it is said that
a digital signature of a message authenticates the message's sender.

CA-certificate - A certificate for one CA's public key issued by
another CA.

Certificate policy (CP) - A named set of rules that indicates the
applicability of a certificate to a particular community and/or class
of application with common security requirements. For example, a
particular CP might indicate applicability of a type of certificate
to the authentication of parties engaging in business-to-business
transactions for the trading of goods or services within a given
price range.

Certification path - An ordered sequence of certificates that,
together with the public key of the initial object in the path, can
be processed to obtain that of the final object in the path.

Certification Practice Statement (CPS) - A statement of the practices
that a certification authority employs in issuing, managing,
revoking, and renewing or re-keying certificates.

CPS Summary (or CPS Abstract) - A subset of the provisions of a
complete CPS that is made public by a CA.

Identification - The process of establishing the identity of an
individual or organization, i.e., to show that an individual or
organization is a specific individual or organization. In the
context of a PKI, identification refers to two processes:

(1) establishing that a given name of an individual or organization
    corresponds to a real-world identity of an individual or
    organization, and

(2) establishing that an individual or organization applying for or
    seeking access to something under that name is, in fact, the
    named individual or organization. A person seeking
    identification may be a certificate applicant, an applicant for
    employment in a trusted position within a PKI participant, or a
    person seeking access to a network or software application, such
    as a CA administrator seeking access to CA systems.

Issuing certification authority (issuing CA) - In the context of a
particular certificate, the issuing CA is the CA that issued the
certificate (see also Subject certification authority).

Participant - An individual or organization that plays a role within
a given PKI as a subscriber, relying party, CA, RA, certificate
manufacturing authority, repository service provider, or similar
entity.

PKI Disclosure Statement (PDS) - An instrument that supplements a CP
or CPS by disclosing critical information about the policies and
practices of a CA/PKI. A PDS is a vehicle for disclosing and
emphasizing information normally covered in detail by associated CP
and/or CPS documents. Consequently, a PDS is not intended to replace
a CP or CPS.

Policy qualifier - Policy-dependent information that may accompany a
CP identifier in an X.509 certificate. Such information can include
a pointer to the URL of the applicable CPS or relying party
agreement. It may also include text (or number causing the
appearance of text) that contains terms of the use of the certificate
or other legal information.

Registration authority (RA) - An entity that is responsible for one
or more of the following functions: the identification and
authentication of certificate applicants, the approval or rejection
of certificate applications, initiating certificate revocations or
suspensions under certain circumstances, processing subscriber
requests to revoke or suspend their certificates, and approving or
rejecting requests by subscribers to renew or re-key their
certificates. RAs, however, do not sign or issue certificates (i.e.,
an RA is delegated certain tasks on behalf of a CA). [Note: The term
Local Registration Authority (LRA) is sometimes used in other
documents for the same concept.]

Relying party - A recipient of a certificate who acts in reliance on
that certificate and/or any digital signatures verified using that
certificate. In this document, the terms "certificate user" and
"relying party" are used interchangeably.

Relying party agreement (RPA) - An agreement between a certification
authority and relying party that typically establishes the rights and
responsibilities between those parties regarding the verification of
digital signatures or other uses of certificates.

Set of provisions - A collection of practice and/or policy
statements, spanning a range of standard topics, for use in
expressing a CP or CPS employing the approach described in this
framework.

Subject certification authority (subject CA) - In the context of a
particular CA-certificate, the subject CA is the CA whose public key
is certified in the certificate (see also Issuing certification
authority).

Subscriber - A subject of a certificate who is issued a certificate.

Subscriber Agreement - An agreement between a CA and a subscriber
that establishes the right and responsibilities of the parties
regarding the issuance and management of certificates.

Validation - The process of identification of certificate applicants.
"Validation" is a subset of "identification" and refers to
identification in the context of establishing the identity of
certificate applicants.

3. Concepts

   This section explains the concepts of CP and CPS, and describes their
   relationship with other PKI documents, such as subscriber agreements
   and relying party agreements. Other related concepts are also
   described. Some of the material covered in this section and in some
   other sections is specific to certificate policies extensions as
   defined X.509 version 3. Except for those sections, this framework
   is intended to be adaptable to other certificate formats that may
   come into use.

3.1. Certificate Policy

   When a certification authority issues a certificate, it is providing
   a statement to a certificate user (i.e., a relying party) that a
   particular public key is bound to the identity and/or other
   attributes of a particular entity (the certificate subject, which is
   usually also the subscriber). The extent to which the relying party
   should rely on that statement by the CA, however, needs to be
   assessed by the relying party or entity controlling or coordinating
   the way relying parties or relying party applications use
   certificates. Different certificates are issued following different
   practices and procedures, and may be suitable for different
   applications and/or purposes.

   The X.509 standard defines a CP as "a named set of rules that
   indicates the applicability of a certificate to a particular
   community and/or class of application with common security
   requirements" [ISO1]. An X.509 Version 3 certificate may identify a
   specific applicable CP, which may be used by a relying party to

decide whether or not to trust a certificate, associated public key,
or any digital signatures verified using the public key for a
particular purpose.

CPs typically fall into two major categories. First, some CPs
"indicate the applicability of a certificate to a particular
community" [ISO1]. These CPs set forth requirements for certificate
usage and requirements on members of a community. For instance, a CP
may focus on the needs of a geographical community, such as the ETSI
policy requirements for CAs issuing qualified certificates [ETS].
Also, a CP of this kind may focus on the needs of a specific
vertical-market community, such as financial services [IDT].

The second category of typical CPs "indicate the applicability of a
certificate to a . . . class of application with common security
requirements." These CPs identify a set of applications or uses for
certificates and say that these applications or uses require a
certain level of security. They then set forth PKI requirements that
are appropriate for these applications or uses. A CP within this
category often makes sets requirements appropriate for a certain
"level of assurance" provided by certificates, relative to
certificates issued pursuant to related CPs. These levels of
assurance may correspond to "classes" or "types" of certificates.

For instance, the Government of Canada PKI Policy Management
Authority (GOC PMA) has established eight certificate policies in a
single document [GOC], four policies for certificates used for
digital signatures and four policies for certificates used for
confidentiality encryption. For each of these applications, the
document establishes four levels of assurances: rudimentary, basic,
medium, and high. The GOC PMA described certain types of digital
signature and confidentiality uses in the document, each with a
certain set of security requirements, and grouped them into eight
categories. The GOC PMA then established PKI requirements for each
of these categories, thereby creating eight types of certificates,
each providing rudimentary, basic, medium, or high levels of
assurance. The progression from rudimentary to high levels
corresponds to increasing security requirements and corresponding
increasing levels of assurance.

A CP is represented in a certificate by a unique number called an
"Object Identifier" (OID). That OID, or at least an "arc", can be
registered. An "arc" is the beginning of the numerical sequence of
an OID and is assigned to a particular organization. The
registration process follows the procedures specified in ISO/IEC and
ITU standards. The party that registers the OID or arc also can
publish the text of the CP, for examination by relying parties. Any
one certificate will typically declare a single CP or, possibly, be

issued consistent with a small number of different policies. Such
declaration appears in the Certificate Policies extension of a X.509
Version 3 certificate. When a CA places multiple CPs within a
certificate's Certificate Policies extension, the CA is asserting
that the certificate is appropriate for use in accordance with any of
the listed CPs.

CPs also constitute a basis for an audit, accreditation, or another
assessment of a CA. Each CA can be assessed against one or more
certificate policies or CPSs that it is recognized as implementing.
When one CA issues a CA-certificate for another CA, the issuing CA
must assess the set of certificate policies for which it trusts the
subject CA (such assessment may be based upon an assessment with
respect to the certificate policies involved). The assessed set of
certificate policies is then indicated by the issuing CA in the CA-
certificate. The X.509 certification path processing logic employs
these CP indications in its well-defined trust model.

3.2. Certificate Policy Examples

For example purposes, suppose that the International Air Transport
Association (IATA) undertakes to define some certificate policies for
use throughout the airline industry, in a PKI operated by IATA in
combination with PKIs operated by individual airlines. Two CPs might
be defined - the IATA General-Purpose CP, and the IATA Commercial-
Grade CP.

The IATA General-Purpose CP could be used by industry personnel for
protecting routine information (e.g., casual electronic mail) and for
authenticating connections from World Wide Web browsers to servers
for general information retrieval purposes. The key pairs may be
generated, stored, and managed using low-cost, software-based
systems, such as commercial browsers. Under this policy, a
certificate may be automatically issued to anybody listed as an
employee in the corporate directory of IATA or any member airline who
submits a signed certificate request form to a network administrator
in his or her organization.

The IATA Commercial-Grade CP could be used to protect financial
transactions or binding contractual exchanges between airlines.
Under this policy, IATA could require that certified key pairs be
generated and stored in approved cryptographic hardware tokens.
Certificates and tokens could be provided to airline employees with
disbursement authority. These authorized individuals might then be
required to present themselves to the corporate security office, show
a valid identification badge, and sign a subscriber agreement
requiring them to protect the token and use it only for authorized
purposes, as a condition of being issued a token and a certificate.

3.3. X.509 Certificate Fields

   The following extension fields in an X.509 certificate are used to
   support CPs:

   *   Certificate Policies extension;
   *   Policy Mappings extension; and
   *   Policy Constraints extension.

3.3.1. Certificate Policies Extension

   A Certificate Policies field lists CPs that the certification
   authority declares are applicable. Using the example of the IATA
   General-Purpose and Commercial-Grade policies defined in Section 3.2,
   the certificates issued to regular airline employees would contain
   the object identifier for General-Purpose policy. The certificates
   issued to the employees with disbursement authority would contain the
   object identifiers for both the General-Purpose policy and the
   Commercial-Grade policy. The inclusion of both object identifiers in
   the certificates means that they would be appropriate for either the
   General-Purpose or Commercial-Grade policies. The Certificate
   Policies field may also optionally convey qualifier values for each
   identified policy; the use of qualifiers is discussed in Section 3.4.

   When processing a certification path, a CP that is acceptable to the
   relying party application must be present in every certificate in the
   path, i.e., in CA-certificates as well as end entity certificates.

   If the Certificate Policies field is flagged critical, it serves the
   same purpose as described above but also has an additional role.
   Specifically, it indicates that the use of the certificate is
   restricted to one of the identified policies, i.e., the certification
   authority is declaring that the certificate must only be used in
   accordance with the provisions of at least one of the listed CPs.
   This field is intended to protect the certification authority against
   claims for damages asserted by a relying party who has used the
   certificate for an inappropriate purpose or in an inappropriate
   manner, as stipulated in the applicable CP.

   For example, the Internal Revenue Service might issue certificates to
   taxpayers for the purpose of protecting tax filings. The Internal
   Revenue Service understands and can accommodate the risks of
   erroneously issuing a bad certificate, e.g., to an imposter.
   Suppose, however, that someone used an Internal Revenue Service tax-
   filing certificate as the basis for encrypting multi-million-dollar-
   value proprietary trade secrets, which subsequently fell into the
   wrong hands because of a cryptanalytic attack by an attacker who is
   able to decrypt the message. The Internal Revenue Service may want

to defend itself against claims for damages in such circumstances by
pointing to the criticality of the Certificate Policies extension to
show that the subscriber and relying party misused the certificate.
The critical-flagged Certificate Policies extension is intended to
mitigate the risk to the CA in such situations.

3.3.2. Policy Mappings Extension

The Policy Mappings extension may only be used in CA-certificates.
This field allows a certification authority to indicate that certain
policies in its own domain can be considered equivalent to certain
other policies in the subject certification authority's domain.

For example, suppose that for purposes of facilitating
interoperability, the ACE Corporation establishes an agreement with
the ABC Corporation to cross-certify the public keys of each others'
certification authorities for the purposes of mutually securing their
respective business-to-business exchanges. Further, suppose that
both companies have pre-existing financial transaction protection
policies called ace-e-commerce and abc-e-commerce, respectively. One
can see that simply generating cross-certificates between the two
domains will not provide the necessary interoperability, as the two
companies' applications are configured with, and employee
certificates are populated with, their respective certificate
policies. One possible solution is to reconfigure all of the
financial applications to require either policy and to reissue all
the certificates with both policies appearing in their Certificate
Policies extensions. Another solution, which may be easier to
administer, uses the Policy Mapping field. If this field is included
in a cross-certificate for the ABC Corporation certification
authority issued by the ACE Corporation certification authority, it
can provide a statement that the ABC's financial transaction
protection policy (i.e., abc-e-commerce) can be considered equivalent
to that of the ACE Corporation (i.e., ace-e-commerce). With such a
statement included in the cross-certificate issued to ABC, relying
party applications in the ACE domain requiring the presence of the
object identifier for the ace-e-commerce CP can also accept, process,
and rely upon certificates issued within the ABC domain containing
the object identifier for the abc-e-commerce CP.

3.3.3. Policy Constraints Extension

The Policy Constraints extension supports two optional features. The
first is the ability for a certification authority to require that
explicit CP indications be present in all subsequent certificates in
a certification path. Certificates at the start of a certification
path may be considered by a relying party to be part of a trusted
domain, i.e., certification authorities are trusted for all purposes

so no particular CP is needed in the Certificate Policies extension.
Such certificates need not contain explicit indications of CP. When
a certification authority in the trusted domain, however, certifies
outside the domain, it can activate the requirement that a specific
CP's object identifier appear in subsequent certificates in the
certification path.

The other optional feature in the Policy Constraints field is the
ability for a certification authority to disable policy mapping by
subsequent certification authorities in a certification path. It may
be prudent to disable policy mapping when certifying outside the
domain. This can assist in controlling risks due to transitive
trust, e.g., a domain A trusts domain B, domain B trusts domain C,
but domain A does not want to be forced to trust domain C.

3.3.4. Policy Qualifiers

The Certificate Policies extension field has a provision for
conveying, along with each CP identifier, additional policy-dependent
information in a qualifier field. The X.509 standard does not
mandate the purpose for which this field is to be used, nor does it
prescribe the syntax for this field. Policy qualifier types can be
registered by any organization.

The following policy qualifier types are defined in PKIX RFC 3280
[PKI1]:

(a) The CPS Pointer qualifier contains a pointer to a CPS, CPS
    Summary, RPA, or PDS published by the CA. The pointer is in the
    form of a uniform resource identifier (URI).

(b) The User Notice qualifier contains a text string that is to be
    displayed to subscribers and relying parties prior to the use of
    the certificate. The text string may be an IA5String or a
    BMPString - a subset of the ISO 100646-1 multiple octet coded
    character set. A CA may invoke a procedure that requires that
    the relying party acknowledge that the applicable terms and
    conditions have been disclosed and/or accepted.

Policy qualifiers can be used to support the definition of generic,
or parameterized, CPs. Provided the base CP so provides, policy
qualifier types can be defined to convey, on a per-certificate basis,
additional specific policy details that fill in the generic
definition.

3.4. Certification Practice Statement

   The term certification practice statement (CPS) is defined by the DSG
   and PAG as: "A statement of the practices which a certification
   authority employs in issuing certificates." [ABA1, ABA2] As stated
   above, a CPS establishes practices concerning lifecycle services in
   addition to issuance, such as certificate management (including
   publication and archiving), revocation, and renewal or re-keying. In
   the DSG, the ABA expands this definition with the following comments:

   "A certification practice statement may take the form of a
   declaration by the certification authority of the details of its
   trustworthy system and the practices it employs in its operations and
   in support of issuance of a certificate . . . ." This form of CPS is
   the most common type, and can vary in length and level of detail.

   Some PKIs may not have the need to create a thorough and detailed
   statement of practices. For example, the CA may itself be the
   relying party and would already be aware of the nature and
   trustworthiness of its services. In other cases, a PKI may provide
   certificates providing only a very low level of assurances where the
   applications being secured may pose only marginal risks if
   compromised. In these cases, an organization establishing a PKI may
   only want to write or have CAs use a subscriber agreement, relying
   party agreement, or agreement combining subscriber and relying party
   terms, depending on the role of the different PKI participants. In
   such a PKI, that agreement may serve as the only "statement of
   practices" used by one or more CAs within that PKI. Consequently,
   that agreement may also be considered a CPS and can be entitled or
   subtitled as such.

   Likewise, since a detailed CPS may contain sensitive details of its
   system, a CA may elect not to publish its entire CPS. It may instead
   opt to publish a CPS Summary (or CPS Abstract). The CPS Summary
   would contain only those provisions from the CPS that the CA
   considers to be relevant to the participants in the PKI (such as the
   responsibilities of the parties or the stages of the certificate
   lifecycle). A CPS Summary, however, would not contain those
   sensitive provisions of the full CPS that might provide an attacker
   with useful information about the CA's operations. Throughout this
   document, the use of "CPS" includes both a detailed CPS and a CPS
   Summary (unless otherwise specified).

   CPSs do not automatically constitute contracts and do not
   automatically bind PKI participants as a contract would. Where a
   document serves the dual purpose of being a subscriber or relying
   party agreement and CPS, the document is intended to be a contract
   and constitutes a binding contract to the extent that a subscriber or

relying party agreement would ordinarily be considered as such. Most
CPSs, however, do not serve such a dual purpose. Therefore, in most
cases, a CPS's terms have a binding effect as contract terms only if
a separate document creates a contractual relationship between the
parties and that document incorporates part or all of the CPS by
reference. Further, if a particular PKI employs a CPS Summary (as
opposed to the entire CPS), the CPS Summary could be incorporated
into any applicable subscriber or relying party agreement.

In the future, a court or applicable statutory or regulatory law may
declare that a certificate itself is a document that is capable of
creating a contractual relationship, to the extent its mechanisms
designed for incorporation by reference (such as the Certificate
Policies extension and its qualifiers) indicate that terms of its use
appear in certain documents. In the meantime, however, some
subscriber agreements and relying party agreements may incorporate a
CPS by reference and therefore make its terms binding on the parties
to such agreements.

3.5. Relationship Between Certificate Policy and Certification
      Practice Statement

The CP and CPS address the same set of topics that are of interest to
the relying party in terms of the degree to and purpose for which a
public key certificate should be trusted. Their primary difference
is in the focus of their provisions. A CP sets forth the
requirements and standards imposed by the PKI with respect to the
various topics. In other words, the purpose of the CP is to
establish what participants must do. A CPS, by contrast, states how
a CA and other participants in a given domain implement procedures
and controls to meet the requirements stated in the CP. In other
words, the purpose of the CPS is to disclose how the participants
perform their functions and implement controls.

An additional difference between a CP and CPS relates the scope of
coverage of the two kinds of documents. Since a CP is a statement of
requirements, it best serves as the vehicle for communicating minimum
operating guidelines that must be met by interoperating PKIs. Thus,
a CP generally applies to multiple CAs, multiple organizations, or
multiple domains. By contrast, a CPS applies only to a single CA or
single organization and is not generally a vehicle to facilitate
interoperation.

A CA with a single CPS may support multiple CPs (used for different
application purposes and/or by different relying party communities).
Also, multiple CAs, with non-identical CPSs, may support the same CP.

For example, the Federal Government might define a government-wide CP
for handling confidential human resources information. The CP will
be a broad statement of the general requirements for participants
within the Government's PKI, and an indication of the types of
applications for which it is suitable for use. Each department or
agency wishing to operate a certification authority in this PKI may
be required to write its own certification practice statement to
support this CP by explaining how it meets the requirements of the
CP. At the same time, a department's or agency's CPS may support
other certificate policies.

An additional difference between a CP and CPS concerns the level of
detail of the provisions in each. Although the level of detail may
vary among CPSs, a CPS will generally be more detailed than a CP. A
CPS provides a detailed description of procedures and controls in
place to meet the CP requirements, while a CP is more general.

The main differences between CPs and CPSs can therefore be summarized
as follows:

(a) A PKI uses a CP to establish requirements that state what
    participants within it must do. A single CA or organization can
    use a CPS to disclose how it meets the requirements of a CP or
    how it implements its practices and controls.

(b) A CP facilitates interoperation through cross-certification,
    unilateral certification, or other means. Therefore, it is
    intended to cover multiple CAs. By contrast, a CPS is a
    statement of a single CA or organization. Its purpose is not to
    facilitate interoperation (since doing so is the function of a
    CP).

(c) A CPS is generally more detailed than a CP and specifies how the
    CA meets the requirements specified in the one or more CPs under
    which it issues certificates.

In addition to populating the certificate policies extension with the
applicable CP object identifier, a certification authority may
include, in certificates it issues, a reference to its certification
practice statement. A standard way to do this, using a CP qualifier,
is described in Section 3.4.

3.6. Relationship Among CPs, CPSs, Agreements, and Other Documents

CPs and CPSs play a central role in documenting the requirements and
practices of a PKI. Nonetheless, they are not the only documents
relevant to a PKI. For instance, subscriber agreements and relying
party agreements play a critical role in allocating responsibilities

to subscribers and relying parties relating to the use of
certificates and key pairs. They establish the terms and conditions
under which certificates are issued, managed, and used. The term
subscriber agreement is defined by the PAG as: "An agreement between
a CA and a subscriber that establishes the right and obligations of
the parties regarding the issuance and management of certificates."
[ABA2] The PAG defines a relying party agreement as: "An agreement
between a certification authority and relying party that typically
establishes the rights and obligations between those parties
regarding the verification of digital signatures or other uses of
certificates." [ABA2]

As mentioned in Section 3.5, a subscriber agreement, relying party
agreement, or an agreement that combines subscriber and relying party
terms may also serve as a CPS. In other PKIs, however, a subscriber
or relying party agreement may incorporate some or all of the terms
of a CP or CPS by reference. Yet other PKIs may distill from a CP
and/or CPS the terms that are applicable to a subscriber and place
such terms in a self-contained subscriber agreement, without
incorporating a CP or CPS by reference. They may use the same method
to distill relying party terms from a CP and/or CPS and place such
terms in a self-contained relying party agreement. Creating such
self-contained agreements has the advantage of creating documents
that are easier for consumers to review. In some cases, subscribers
or relying parties may be deemed to be "consumers" under applicable
law, who are subject to certain statutory or regulatory protections.
Under the legal systems of civil law countries, incorporating a CP or
CPS by reference may not be effective to bind consumers to the terms
of an incorporated CP or CPS.

CPs and CPSs may be incorporated by reference in other documents,
including:

* Interoperability agreements (including agreements between CAs for
   cross-certification, unilateral certification, or other forms of
   interoperation),

* Vendor agreements (under which a PKI vendor agrees to meet
   standards set forth in a CP or CPS), or

* A PDS. See [ABA2]

A PDS serves a similar function to a CPS Summary. It is a relatively
short document containing only a subset of critical details about a
PKI or CA. It may differ from a CPS Summary, however, in that its
purpose is to act as a summary of information about the overall
nature of the PKI, as opposed to simply a condensed form of the CPS.

Moreover, its purpose is to distill information about the PKI, as
opposed to protecting security sensitive information contained in an
unpublished CPS, although a PDS could also serve that function.

Just as writers may wish to refer to a CP or CPS or incorporate it by
reference in an agreement or PDS, a CP or CPS may refer to other
documents when establishing requirements or making disclosures. For
instance, a CP may set requirements for certificate content by
referring to an external document setting forth a standard
certificate profile. Referencing external documents permits a CP or
CPS to impose detailed requirements or make detailed disclosures
without having to reprint lengthy provisions from other documents
within the CP or CPS. Moreover, referencing a document in a CP or
CPS is another useful way of dividing disclosures between public
information and security sensitive confidential information (in
addition to or as an alternative to publishing a CPS Summary). For
example, a PKI may want to publish a CP or CPS, but maintain site
construction parameters for CA high security zones as confidential
information. In that case, the CP or CPS could reference an external
manual or document containing the detailed site construction
parameters.

Documents that a PKI may wish to refer to in a CP or CPS include:

* A security policy,

* Training, operational, installation, and user manuals (which may
   contain operational requirements),

* Standards documents that apply to particular aspects of the PKI
   (such as standards specifying the level of protection offered by
   any hardware tokens used in the PKI or standards applicable to the
   site construction),

* Key management plans,

* Human resource guides and employment manuals (which may describe
   some aspects of personnel security practices), and

* E-mail policies (which may discuss subscriber and relying party
   responsibilities, as well as the implications of key management,
   if applicable). See [ABA2]

3.7. Set of Provisions

   A set of provisions is a collection of practice and/or policy
   statements, spanning a range of standard topics for use in expressing
   a CP or CPS employing the approach described in this framework by
   covering the topic appearing in Section 5 below. They are also
   described in detail in Section 4 below.

   A CP can be expressed as a single set of provisions.

   A CPS can be expressed as a single set of provisions with each
   component addressing the requirements of one or more certificate
   policies, or, alternatively, as an organized collection of sets of
   provisions. For example, a CPS could be expressed as a combination
   of the following:

(a) a list of certificate policies supported by the CPS;

(b) for each CP in (a), a set of provisions that contains statements
     responding to that CP by filling in details not stipulated in
     that policy or expressly left to the discretion of the CA (in its
     CPS) ; such statements serve to state how this particular CPS
     implements the requirements of the particular CP; or

(c) a set of provisions that contains statements regarding the
     certification practices on the CA, regardless of CP.

The statements provided in (b) and (c) may augment or refine the
stipulations of the applicable CP, but generally must not conflict
with any of the stipulations of such CP. In certain cases, however,
a policy authority may permit exceptions to the requirements in a CP,
because certain compensating controls of the CA are disclosed in its
CPS that allow the CA to provide assurances that are equivalent to
the assurances provided by CAs that are in full compliance with the
CP.

   This framework outlines the contents of a set of provisions, in terms
   of nine primary components, as follows:

   1. Introduction
   2. Publication and Repository
   3. Identification and Authentication
   4. Certificate Life-Cycle Operational Requirements
   5. Facilities, Management, and Operational Controls
   6. Technical Security Controls
   7. Certificate, CRL, and OCSP Profile
   8. Compliance audit
   9. Other Business and Legal Matters

PKIs can use this simple framework of nine primary components to
write a simple CP or CPS. Moreover, a CA can use this same framework
to write a subscriber agreement, relying party agreement, or
agreement containing subscriber and relying party terms. If a CA
uses this simple framework to construct an agreement, it can use
paragraph 1 as an introduction or recitals, it can set forth the
responsibilities of the parties in paragraphs 2-8, and it can use
paragraph 9 to cover the business and legal issues described in more
detail, using the ordering of Section 4.9 below (such as
representations and warranties, disclaimers, and liability
limitations). The ordering of topics in this simple framework and
the business and legal matters Section 4.9 is the same as (or similar
to) the ordering of topics in a typical software or other technology
agreement. Therefore, a PKI can establish a set of core documents
(with a CP, CPS, subscriber agreement, and relying party agreement)
all having the same structure and ordering of topics, thereby
facilitating comparisons and mappings among these documents and among
the corresponding documents of other PKIs.

This simple framework may also be useful for agreements other than
subscriber agreements and relying party agreements. For instance, a
CA wishing to outsource certain services to an RA or certificate
manufacturing authority (CMA) may find it useful to use this
framework as a checklist to write a registration authority agreement
or outsourcing agreement. Similarly, two CAs may wish to use this
simple framework for the purpose of drafting a cross-certification,
unilateral certification, or other interoperability agreement.

In short, the primary components of the simple framework (specified
above) may meet the needs of drafters of short CPs, CPSs, subscriber
agreements, and relying party agreements. Nonetheless, this
framework is extensible, and its coverage of the nine components is
flexible enough to meet the needs of drafters of comprehensive CPs
and CPSs. Specifically, components appearing above can be further
divided into subcomponents, and a subcomponent may comprise multiple
elements. Section 4 provides a more detailed description of the
contents of the above components, and their subcomponents. Drafters
of CPs and CPSs are permitted to add additional levels of
subcomponents below the subcomponents described in Section 4 for the
purpose of meeting the needs of the drafter's particular PKI.

4. Contents of a Set of Provisions

This section expands upon the contents of the simple framework of
provisions, as introduced in Section 3.7. The topics identified in
this section are, consequently, candidate topics for inclusion in a
detailed CP or CPS.

While many topics are identified, it is not necessary for a CP or a
CPS to include a concrete statement for every such topic. Rather, a
particular CP or CPS may state "no stipulation" for a component,
subcomponent, or element on which the particular CP or CPS imposes no
requirements or makes no disclosure. In this sense, the list of
topics can be considered a checklist of topics for consideration by
the CP or CPS writer.

It is recommended that each and every component and subcomponent be
included in a CP or CPS, even if there is "no stipulation"; this will
indicate to the reader that a conscious decision was made to include
or exclude a provision concerning that topic. This drafting style
protects against inadvertent omission of a topic, while facilitating
comparison of different certificate policies or CPSs, e.g., when
making policy mapping decisions.

In a CP, it is possible to leave certain components, subcomponents,
and/or elements unspecified, and to stipulate that the required
information will be indicated in a policy qualifier, or the document
to which a policy qualifier points. Such CPs can be considered
parameterized definitions. The set of provisions should reference or
define the required policy qualifier types and should specify any
applicable default values.

4.1. Introductions

   This component identifies and introduces the set of provisions, and
   indicates the types of entities and applications for which the
   document (either the CP or the CPS being written) is targeted.

4.1.1. Overview

   This subcomponent provides a general introduction to the document
   being written. This subcomponent can also be used to provide a
   synopsis of the PKI to which the CP or CPS applies. For example, it
   may set out different levels of assurance provided by certificates
   within the PKI. Depending on the complexity and scope of the
   particular PKI, a diagrammatic representation of the PKI might be
   useful here.

4.1.2. Document Name and Identification

   This subcomponent provides any applicable names or other identifiers,
   including ASN.1 object identifiers, for the document. An example of
   such a document name would be the US Federal Government Policy for
   Secure E-mail.

4.1.3. PKI Participants

   This subcomponent describes the identity or types of entities that
   fill the roles of participants within a PKI, namely:

   * Certification authorities, i.e., the entities that issue
      certificates. A CA is the issuing CA with respect to the
      certificates it issues and is the subject CA with respect to the
      CA certificate issued to it. CAs may be organized in a hierarchy
      in which an organization's CA issues certificates to CAs operated
      by subordinate organizations, such as a branch, division, or
      department within a larger organization.

   * Registration authorities, i.e., the entities that establish
      enrollment procedures for end-user certificate applicants, perform
      identification and authentication of certificate applicants,
      initiate or pass along revocation requests for certificates, and
      approve applications for renewal or re-keying certificates on
      behalf of a CA. Subordinate organizations within a larger
      organization can act as RAs for the CA serving the entire
      organization, but RAs may also be external to the CA.

   * Subscribers. Examples of subscribers who receive certificates
      from a CA include employees of an organization with its own CA,
      banking or brokerage customers, organizations hosting e-commerce
      sites, organizations participating in a business-to-business
      exchange, and members of the public receiving certificates from a
      CA issuing certificates to the public at large.

   * Relying parties. Examples of relying parties include employees of
      an organization having its own CA who receive digitally signed e-
      mails from other employees, persons buying goods and services from
      e-commerce sites, organizations participating in a business-to-
      business exchange who receive bids or orders from other
      participating organizations, and individuals and organizations
      doing business with subscribers who have received their
      certificates from a CA issuing certificates to the public.
      Relying parties may or may not also be subscribers within a given
      PKI.

   * Other participants, such as certificate manufacturing authorities,
      providers of repository services, and other entities providing
      PKI-related services.

4.1.4. Certificate Usage

   This subcomponent contains:

   * A list or the types of applications for which the issued
      certificates are suitable, such as electronic mail, retail
      transactions, contracts, and a travel order, and/or

   * A list or the types of applications for which use of the issued
      certificates is prohibited.

   In the case of a CP or CPS describing different levels of assurance,
   this subcomponent can describe applications or types of applications
   that are appropriate or inappropriate for the different levels of
   assurance.

4.1.5. Policy Administration

   This subcomponent includes the name and mailing address of the
   organization that is responsible for the drafting, registering,
   maintaining, and updating of this CP or CPS. It also includes the
   name, electronic mail address, telephone number, and fax number of a
   contact person. As an alternative to naming an actual person, the
   document may name a title or role, an e-mail alias, and other
   generalized contact information. In some cases, the organization may
   state that its contact person, alone or in combination with others,
   is available to answer questions about the document.

   Moreover, when a formal or informal policy authority is responsible
   for determining whether a CA should be allowed to operate within or
   interoperate with a PKI, it may wish to approve the CPS of the CA as
   being suitable for the policy authority's CP. If so, this
   subcomponent can include the name or title, electronic mail address
   (or alias), telephone number, fax number, and other generalized
   information of the entity in charge of making such a determination.
   Finally, in this case, this subcomponent also includes the procedures
   by which this determination is made.

4.1.6. Definitions and Acronyms

   This subcomponent contains a list of definitions for defined terms
   used within the document, as well as a list of acronyms in the
   document and their meanings.

4.2. Publication and Repository Responsibilities

   This component contains any applicable provisions regarding:

   * An identification of the entity or entities that operate
      repositories within the PKI, such as a CA, certificate
      manufacturing authority, or independent repository service
      provider;

   * The responsibility of a PKI participant to publish information
      regarding its practices, certificates, and the current status of
      such certificates, which may include the responsibilities of
      making the CP or CPS publicly available using various mechanisms
      and of identifying components, subcomponents, and elements of such
      documents that exist but are not made publicly available, for
      instance, security controls, clearance procedures, or trade secret
      information due to their sensitivity;

   * When information must be published and the frequency of
      publication; and

   * Access control on published information objects including CPs,
      CPS, certificates, certificate status, and CRLs.

4.3. Identification and Authentication

   This component describes the procedures used to authenticate the
   identity and/or other attributes of an end-user certificate applicant
   to a CA or RA prior to certificate issuance. In addition, the
   component sets forth the procedures for authenticating the identity
   and the criteria for accepting applicants of entities seeking to
   become CAs, RAs, or other entities operating in or interoperating
   with a PKI. It also describes how parties requesting re-key or
   revocation are authenticated. This component also addresses naming
   practices, including the recognition of trademark rights in certain
   names.

4.3.1. Naming

   This subcomponent includes the following elements regarding naming
   and identification of the subscribers:

   * Types of names assigned to the subject, such as X.500
      distinguished names; RFC-822 names; and X.400 names;

   * Whether names have to be meaningful or not;(3)

*   Whether or not subscribers can be anonymous or pseudonymous, and
    if they can, what names are assigned to or can be used by
    anonymous subscribers;

*   Rules for interpreting various name forms, such as the X.500
    standard and RFC-822;

*   Whether names have to be unique; and

*   Recognition, authentication, and the role of trademarks.

4.3.2. Initial Identity Validation

This subcomponent contains the following elements for the
identification and authentication procedures for the initial
registration for each subject type (CA, RA, subscriber, or other
participant):

*   If and how the subject must prove possession of the companion
    private key for the public key being registered, for example, a
    digital signature in the certificate request message;(4)

*   Identification and authentication requirements for organizational
    identity of subscriber or participant (CA; RA; subscriber (in the
    case of certificates issued to organizations or devices controlled
    by an organization), or other participant), for example,
    consulting the database of a service that identifies organizations
    or inspecting an organization's articles of incorporation;

*   Identification and authentication requirements for an individual
    subscriber or a person acting on behalf of an organizational
    subscriber or participant (CA, RA, in the case of certificates
    issued to organizations or devices controlled by an organization,
    the subscriber, or other participant),(5) including:

    *   Type of documentation and/or number of identification
        credentials required;

    *   How a CA or RA authenticates the identity of the organization
        or individual based on the documentation or credentials
        provided;

    *   If the individual must personally present to the authenticating
        CA or RA;

    *   How an individual as an organizational person is authenticated,
        such as by reference to duly signed authorization documents or
        a corporate identification badge.

    * List of subscriber information that is not verified (called "non-
       verified subscriber information") during the initial registration;

    * Validation of authority involves a determination of whether a
       person has specific rights, entitlements, or permissions,
       including the permission to act on behalf of an organization to
       obtain a certificate; and

    * In the case of applications by a CA wishing to operate within, or
       interoperate with, a PKI, this subcomponent contains the criteria
       by which a PKI, CA, or policy authority determines whether or not
       the CA is suitable for such operations or interoperation. Such
       interoperation may include cross-certification, unilateral
       certification, or other forms of interoperation.

4.3.3. Identification and Authentication for Re-key Requests

    This subcomponent addresses the following elements for the
    identification and authentication procedures for re-key for each
    subject type (CA, RA, subscriber, and other participants):

    * Identification and authentication requirements for routine re-key,
       such as a re-key request that contains the new key and is signed
       using the current valid key; and

    * Identification and authentication requirements for re-key after
       certificate revocation. One example is the use of the same
       process as the initial identity validation.

4.3.4. Identification and Authentication for Revocation Requests

    This subcomponent describes the identification and authentication
    procedures for a revocation request by each subject type (CA, RA,
    subscriber, and other participant). Examples include a revocation
    request digitally signed with the private key whose companion public
    key needs to be revoked, and a digitally signed request by the RA.

4.4. Certificate Life-Cycle Operational Requirements

    This component is used to specify requirements imposed upon issuing
    CA, subject CAs, RAs, subscribers, or other participants with respect
    to the life-cycle of a certificate.

    Within each subcomponent, separate consideration may need to be given
    to subject CAs, RAs, subscribers, and other participants.

4.4.1. Certificate Application

   This subcomponent is used to address the following requirements
   regarding subject certificate application:

   * Who can submit a certificate application, such as a certificate
      subject or the RA; and

   * Enrollment process used by subjects to submit certificate
      applications and responsibilities in connection with this process.
      An example of this process is where the subject generates the key
      pair and sends a certificate request to the RA. The RA validates
      and signs the request and sends it to the CA. A CA or RA may have
      the responsibility of establishing an enrollment process in order
      to receive certificate applications. Likewise, certificate
      applicants may have the responsibility of providing accurate
      information on their certificate applications.

4.4.2. Certificate Application Processing

   This subcomponent is used to describe the procedure for processing
   certificate applications. For example, the issuing CA and RA may
   perform identification and authentication procedures to validate the
   certificate application. Following such steps, the CA or RA will
   either approve or reject the certificate application, perhaps upon
   the application of certain criteria. Finally, this subcomponent sets
   a time limit during which a CA and/or RA must act on and process a
   certificate application.

4.4.3. Certificate Issuance

   This subcomponent is used to describe the following certificate
   issuance related elements:

   * Actions performed by the CA during the issuance of the
      certificate, for example a procedure whereby the CA validates the
      RA signature and RA authority and generates a certificate; and

   * Notification mechanisms, if any, used by the CA to notify the
      subscriber of the issuance of the certificate; an example is a
      procedure under which the CA e-mails the certificate to the
      subscriber or the RA or e-mails information permitting the
      subscriber to download the certificate from a web site.

4.4.4. Certificate Acceptance

   This subcomponent addresses the following:

   * The conduct of an applicant that will be deemed to constitute
      acceptance of the certificate. Such conduct may include
      affirmative steps to indicate acceptance, actions implying
      acceptance, or a failure to object to the certificate or its
      content. For instance, acceptance may be deemed to occur if the
      CA does not receive any notice from the subscriber within a
      certain time period; a subscriber may send a signed message
      accepting the certificate; or a subscriber may send a signed
      message rejecting the certificate where the message includes the
      reason for rejection and identifies the fields in the certificate
      that are incorrect or incomplete.

   * Publication of the certificate by the CA. For example, the CA may
      post the certificate to an X.500 or LDAP repository.

   * Notification of certificate issuance by the CA to other entities.
      As an example, the CA may send the certificate to the RA.

4.4.5. Key Pair and Certificate Usage

   This subcomponent is used to describe the responsibilities relating
   to the use of keys and certificates, including:

   * Subscriber responsibilities relating to use of the subscriber's
      private key and certificate. For example, the subscriber may be
      required to use a private key and certificate only for appropriate
      applications as set forth in the CP and in consistency with
      applicable certificate content (e.g., key usage field). Use of a
      private key and certificate are subject to the terms of the
      subscriber agreement, the use of a private key is permitted only
      after the subscriber has accepted the corresponding certificate,
      or the subscriber must discontinue use of the private key
      following the expiration or revocation of the certificate.

   * Relying party responsibilities relating to the use of a
      subscriber's public key and certificate. For instance, a relying
      party may be obligated to rely on certificates only for
      appropriate applications as set forth in the CP and in consistency
      with applicable certificate content (e.g., key usage field),
      successfully perform public key operations as a condition of
      relying on a certificate, assume responsibility to check the
      status of a certificate using one of the required or permitted

mechanisms set forth in the CP/CPS (see Section 4.4.9 below), and assent to the terms of the applicable relying party agreement as a condition of relying on the certificate.

4.4.6. Certificate Renewal

This subcomponent is used to describe the following elements related to certificate renewal. Certificate renewal means the issuance of a new certificate to the subscriber without changing the subscriber or other participant's public key or any other information in the certificate:

* Circumstances under which certificate renewal takes place, such as where the certificate life has expired, but the policy permits the same key pair to be reused;

* Who may request certificate renewal, for instance, the subscriber, RA, or the CA may automatically renew an end-user subscriber certificate;

* A CA or RA's procedures to process renewal requests to issue the new certificate, for example, the use of a token, such as a password, to re-authenticate the subscriber, or procedures that are the same as the initial certificate issuance;

* Notification of the new certificate to the subscriber;

* Conduct constituting acceptance of the certificate;

* Publication of the certificate by the CA; and

* Notification of certificate issuance by the CA to other entities.

4.4.7. Certificate Re-key

This subcomponent is used to describe the following elements related to a subscriber or other participant generating a new key pair and applying for the issuance of a new certificate that certifies the new public key:

* Circumstances under which certificate re-key can or must take place, such as after a certificate is revoked for reasons of key compromise or after a certificate has expired and the usage period of the key pair has also expired;

* Who may request certificate re-key, for example, the subscriber;

    * A CA or RA's procedures to process re-keying requests to issue the
       new certificate, such as procedures that are the same as the
       initial certificate issuance;

    * Notification of the new certificate to the subscriber;

    * Conduct constituting acceptance of the certificate;

    * Publication of the certificate by the CA; and

    * Notification of certificate issuance by the CA to other entities.

4.4.8. Certificate Modification

    This subcomponent is used to describe the following elements related
    to the issuance of a new certificate (6) due to changes in the
    information in the certificate other than the subscriber public key:

    * Circumstances under which certificate modification can take place,
       such as name change, role change, reorganization resulting in a
       change in the DN;

    * Who may request certificate modification, for instance,
       subscribers, human resources personnel, or the RA;

    * A CA or RA's procedures to process modification requests to issue
       the new certificate, such as procedures that are the same as the
       initial certificate issuance;

    * Notification of the new certificate to the subscriber;

    * Conduct constituting acceptance of the certificate;

    * Publication of the certificate by the CA; and

    * Notification of certificate issuance by the CA to other entities.

4.4.9. Certificate Revocation and Suspension

    This subcomponent addresses the following:

    * Circumstances under which a certificate may be suspended and
       circumstances under which it must be revoked, for instance, in
       cases of subscriber employment termination, loss of cryptographic
       token, or suspected compromise of the private key;

   * Who can request the revocation of the participant's certificate,
      for example, the subscriber, RA, or CA in the case of an end-user
      subscriber certificate.

   * Procedures used for certificate revocation request, such as a
      digitally signed message from the RA, a digitally signed message
      from the subscriber, or a phone call from the RA;

   * The grace period available to the subscriber, within which the
      subscriber must make a revocation request;

   * The time within which CA must process the revocation request;

   * The mechanisms, if any, that a relying party may use or must use
      in order to check the status of certificates on which they wish to
      rely;

   * If a CRL mechanism is used, the issuance frequency;

   * If a CRL mechanism is used, maximum latency between the generation
      of CRLs and posting of the CRLs to the repository (in other words,
      the maximum amount of processing- and communication-related delays
      in posting CRLs to the repository after the CRLs are generated);

   * On-line revocation/status checking availability, for instance,
      OCSP and a web site to which status inquiries can be submitted;

   * Requirements on relying parties to perform on-line
      revocation/status checks;

   * Other forms of revocation advertisements available;

   * Any variations of the above stipulations for which suspension or
      revocation is the result of private key compromise (as opposed to
      other reasons for suspension or revocation).

   * Circumstances under which a certificate may be suspended;

   * Who can request the suspension of a certificate, for example, the
      subscriber, human resources personnel, a supervisor of the
      subscriber, or the RA in the case of an end-user subscriber
      certificate;

   * Procedures to request certificate suspension, such as a digitally
      signed message from the subscriber or RA, or a phone call from the
      RA; and

   * How long the suspension may last.

4.4.10. Certificate Status Services

   This subcomponent addresses the certificate status checking services
   available to the relying parties, including:

   * The operational characteristics of certificate status checking
      services;

   * The availability of such services, and any applicable policies on
      unavailability; and

   * Any optional features of such services.

4.4.11. End of Subscription

   This subcomponent addresses procedures used by the subscriber to end
   subscription to the CA services, including:

   * The revocation of certificates at the end of subscription (which
      may differ, depending on whether the end of subscription was due
      to the expiration of the certificate or termination of the
      service).

4.4.12. Key Escrow and Recovery

   This subcomponent contains the following elements to identify the
   policies and practices relating to the escrowing, and/or recovery of
   private keys where private key escrow services are available (through
   the CA or other trusted third parties):

   * Identification of the document containing private key escrow and
      recovery policies and practices or a listing of such policies and
      practices; and

   * Identification of the document containing session key
      encapsulation and recovery policies and practices or a listing of
      such policies and practices.

4.5. Management, Operational, and Physical Controls

   This component describes non-technical security controls (that is,
   physical, procedural, and personnel controls) used by the issuing CA
   to securely perform the functions of key generation, subject
   authentication, certificate issuance, certificate revocation,
   auditing, and archiving.

This component can also be used to define non-technical security
controls on repositories, subject CAs, RAs, subscribers, and other
participants. The non-technical security controls for the subject
CAs, RAs, subscribers, and other participants could be the same,
similar, or very different.

These non-technical security controls are critical to trusting the
certificates since lack of security may compromise CA operations
resulting for example, in the creation of certificates or CRLs with
erroneous information or compromising the CA private key.

Within each subcomponent, separate consideration will, in general,
need to be given to each entity type, that is, the issuing CA,
repository, subject CAs, RAs, subscribers, and other participants.

4.5.1. Physical Security Controls

In this subcomponent, the physical controls on the facility housing
the entity systems are described. Topics addressed may include:

* Site location and construction, such as the construction
  requirements for high-security zones and the use of locked rooms,
  cages, safes, and cabinets;

* Physical access, i.e., mechanisms to control access from one area
  of the facility to another or access into high-security zones,
  such as locating CA operations in a secure computer room monitored
  by guards or security alarms and requiring movement from zone to
  zone to be accomplished using a token, biometric readers, and/or
  access control lists;

* Power and air conditioning;

* Water exposures;

* Fire prevention and protection;

* Media storage, for example, requiring the storage of backup media
  in a separate location that is physically secure and protected
  from fire and water damage;

* Waste disposal; and

* Off-site backup.

4.5.2. Procedural Controls

   In this subcomponent, requirements for recognizing trusted roles are
   described, together with the responsibilities for each role.
   Examples of trusted roles include system administrators, security
   officers, and system auditors.

   For each task identified, the number of individuals required to
   perform the task (n out m rule) should be stated for each role.
   Identification and authentication requirements for each role may also
   be defined.

   This component also includes the separation of duties in terms of the
   roles that cannot be performed by the same individuals.

4.5.3. Personnel Security Controls

   This subcomponent addresses the following:

   * Qualifications, experience, and clearances that personnel must
      have as a condition of filling trusted roles or other important
      roles. Examples include credentials, job experiences, and
      official government clearances that candidates for these positions
      must have before being hired;

   * Background checks and clearance procedures that are required in
      connection with the hiring of personnel filling trusted roles or
      perhaps other important roles; such roles may require a check of
      their criminal records, references, and additional clearances that
      a participant undertakes after a decision has been made to hire a
      particular person;

   * Training requirements and training procedures for each role
      following the hiring of personnel;

   * Any retraining period and retraining procedures for each role
      after completion of initial training;

   * Frequency and sequence for job rotation among various roles;

   * Sanctions against personnel for unauthorized actions, unauthorized
      use of authority, and unauthorized use of entity systems for the
      purpose of imposing accountability on a participant's personnel;

   * Controls on personnel that are independent contractors rather than
      employees of the entity; examples include:

      - Bonding requirements on contract personnel;

        - Contractual requirements including indemnification for damages
          due to the actions of the contractor personnel;

        - Auditing and monitoring of contractor personnel; and

        - Other controls on contracting personnel.

   * Documentation to be supplied to personnel during initial training,
     retraining, or otherwise.

4.5.4. Audit Logging Procedures

   This subcomponent is used to describe event logging and audit
   systems, implemented for the purpose of maintaining a secure
   environment. Elements include the following:

   * Types of events recorded, such as certificate lifecycle
     operations, attempts to access the system, and requests made to
     the system;

   * Frequency with which audit logs are processed or archived, for
     example, weekly, following an alarm or anomalous event, or when
     ever the audit log is n% full;

   * Period for which audit logs are kept;

   * Protection of audit logs:

       - Who can view audit logs, for example only the audit
          administrator;

       - Protection against modification of audit logs, for instance a
          requirement that no one may modify or delete the audit records
          or that only an audit administrator may delete an audit file as
          part of rotating the audit file; and

       - Protection against deletion of audit logs.

   * Audit log back up procedures;

   * Whether the audit log accumulation system is internal or external
     to the entity;

   * Whether the subject who caused an audit event to occur is notified
     of the audit action; and

   * Vulnerability assessments, for example, where audit data is run
     through a tool that identifies potential attempts to breach the
     security of the system.

4.5.5. Records Archival

   This subcomponent is used to describe general records archival (or
   records retention) policies, including the following:

   * Types of records that are archived, for example, all audit data,
     certificate application information, and documentation supporting
     certificate applications;

   * Retention period for an archive;

   * Protection of an archive:

     - Who can view the archive, for example, a requirement that only
       the audit administrator may view the archive;

     - Protection against modification of the archive, such as
       securely storing the data on a write once medium;

     - Protection against deletion of the archive;

     - Protection against the deterioration of the media on which the
       archive is stored, such as a requirement for data to be
       migrated periodically to fresh media; and

     - Protection against obsolescence of hardware, operating systems,
       and other software, by, for example, retaining as part of the
       archive the hardware, operating systems, and/or other software
       in order to permit access to and use of archived records over
       time.

   * Archive backup procedures;

   * Requirements for time-stamping of records;

   * Whether the archive collection system is internal or external; and

   * Procedures to obtain and verify archive information, such as a
     requirement that two separate copies of the archive data be kept
     under the control of two persons, and that the two copies be
     compared in order to ensure that the archive information is
     accurate.

4.5.6. Key Changeover

   This subcomponent describes the procedures to provide a new public
   key to a CA's users following a re-key by the CA. These procedures
   may be the same as the procedure for providing the current key.
   Also, the new key may be certified in a certificate signed using the
   old key.

4.5.7. Compromise and Disaster Recovery

   This subcomponent describes requirements relating to notification and
   recovery procedures in the event of compromise or disaster. Each of
   the following may need to be addressed separately:

   * Identification or listing of the applicable incident and
      compromise reporting and handling procedures.

   * The recovery procedures used if computing resources, software,
      and/or data are corrupted or suspected to be corrupted. These
      procedures describe how a secure environment is re-established,
      which certificates are revoked, whether the entity key is revoked,
      how the new entity public key is provided to the users, and how
      the subjects are re-certified.

   * The recovery procedures used if the entity key is compromised.
      These procedures describe how a secure environment is re-
      established, how the new entity public key is provided to the
      users, and how the subjects are re-certified.

   * The entity's capabilities to ensure business continuity following
      a natural or other disaster. Such capabilities may include the
      availability of a remote hot-site at which operations may be
      recovered. They may also include procedures for securing its
      facility during the period of time following a natural or other
      disaster and before a secure environment is re-established, either
      at the original site or at a remote site. For example, procedures
      to protect against theft of sensitive materials from an
      earthquake-damaged site.

4.5.8. CA or RA Termination

   This subcomponent describes requirements relating to procedures for
   termination and termination notification of a CA or RA, including the
   identity of the custodian of CA and RA archival records.

4.6. Technical Security Controls

   This component is used to define the security measures taken by the
   issuing CA to protect its cryptographic keys and activation data
   (e.g., PINs, passwords, or manually-held key shares). This component
   may also be used to impose constraints on repositories, subject CAs,
   subscribers, and other participants to protect their private keys,
   activation data for their private keys, and critical security
   parameters. Secure key management is critical to ensure that all
   secret and private keys and activation data are protected and used
   only by authorized personnel.

   This component also describes other technical security controls used
   by the issuing CA to perform securely the functions of key
   generation, user authentication, certificate registration,
   certificate revocation, auditing, and archiving. Technical controls
   include life-cycle security controls (including software development
   environment security, trusted software development methodology) and
   operational security controls.

   This component can also be used to define other technical security
   controls on repositories, subject CAs, RAs, subscribers, and other
   participants.

4.6.1. Key Pair Generation and Installation

   Key pair generation and installation need to be considered for the
   issuing CA, repositories, subject CAs, RAs, and subscribers. For
   each of these types of entities, the following questions potentially
   need to be answered:

   1. Who generates the entity public, private key pair? Possibilities
      include the subscriber, RA, or CA. Also, how is the key
      generation performed? Is the key generation performed by hardware
      or software?

   2. How is the private key provided securely to the entity?
      Possibilities include a situation where the entity has generated
      it and therefore already has it, handing the entity the private
      key physically, mailing a token containing the private key
      securely, or delivering it in an SSL session.

   3. How is the entity's public key provided securely to the
      certification authority? Some possibilities are in an online SSL
      session or in a message signed by the RA.

4. In the case of issuing CAs, how is the CA's public key provided
   securely to potential relying parties? Possibilities include
   handing the public key to the relying party securely in person,
   physically mailing a copy securely to the relying party, or
   delivering it in a SSL session.

5. What are the key sizes? Examples include a 1,024 bit RSA modulus
   and a 1,024 bit DSA large prime.

6. Who generates the public key parameters, and is the quality of the
   parameters checked during key generation?

7. For what purposes may the key be used, or for what purposes should
   usage of the key be restricted? For X.509 certificates, these
   purposes should map to the key usage flags in X.509 Version 3
   certificates.

4.6.2. Private Key Protection and Cryptographic Module Engineering
        Controls

   Requirements for private key protection and cryptographic modules
   need to be considered for the issuing CA, repositories, subject CAs,
   RAs, and subscribers. For each of these types of entities, the
   following questions potentially need to be answered:

   1. What standards, if any, are required for the cryptographic module
       used to generate the keys? A cryptographic module can be
       composed of hardware, software, firmware, or any combination of
       them. For example, are the keys certified by the infrastructure
       required to be generated using modules compliant with the US FIPS
       140-1? If so, what is the required FIPS 140-1 level of the
       module? Are there any other engineering or other controls
       relating to a cryptographic module, such as the identification of
       the cryptographic module boundary, input/output, roles and
       services, finite state machine, physical security, software
       security, operating system security, algorithm compliance,
       electromagnetic compatibility, and self tests.

   2. Is the private key under n out of m multi-person control?(7) If
       yes, provide n and m (two person control is a special case of n
       out of m, where n = m = 2)?

   3. Is the private key escrowed?(8) If so, who is the escrow agent,
       what form is the key escrowed in (examples include plaintext,
       encrypted, split key), and what are the security controls on the
       escrow system?

4. Is the private key backed up? If so, who is the backup agent,
    what form is the key backed up in (examples include plaintext,
    encrypted, split key), and what are the security controls on the
    backup system?

5. Is the private key archived? If so, who is the archival agent,
    what form is the key archived in (examples include plaintext,
    encrypted, split key), and what are the security controls on the
    archival system?

6. Under what circumstances, if any, can a private key be
    transferred into or from a cryptographic module? Who is
    permitted to perform such a transfer operation? In what form is
    the private key during the transfer (i.e., plaintext, encrypted,
    or split key)?

7. How is the private key stored in the module (i.e., plaintext,
    encrypted, or split key)?

8. Who can activate (use) the private key? What actions must be
    performed to activate the private key (e.g., login, power on,
    supply PIN, insert token/key, automatic, etc.)? Once the key is
    activated, is the key active for an indefinite period, active for
    one time, or active for a defined time period?

9. Who can deactivate the private key and how? Examples of methods
    of deactivating private keys include logging out, turning the
    power off, removing the token/key, automatic deactivation, and
    time expiration.

10. Who can destroy the private key and how? Examples of methods of
    destroying private keys include token surrender, token
    destruction, and overwriting the key.

11. Provide the capabilities of the cryptographic module in the
    following areas: identification of the cryptographic module
    boundary, input/output, roles and services, finite state machine,
    physical security, software security, operating system security,
    algorithm compliance, electromagnetic compatibility, and self
    tests. Capability may be expressed through reference to
    compliance with a standard such as U.S. FIPS 140-1, associated
    level, and rating.

4.6.3. Other Aspects of Key Pair Management

   Other aspects of key management need to be considered for the issuing
   CA, repositories, subject CAs, RAs, subscribers, and other
   participants. For each of these types of entities, the following
   questions potentially need to be answered:

   1. Is the public key archived? If so, who is the archival agent and
       what are the security controls on the archival system? Also,
       what software and hardware need to be preserved as part of the
       archive to permit use of the public key over time? Note: this
       subcomponent is not limited to requiring or describing the use of
       digital signatures with archival data, but rather can address
       integrity controls other than digital signatures when an archive
       requires tamper protection. Digital signatures do not provide
       tamper protection or protect the integrity of data; they merely
       verify data integrity. Moreover, the archival period may be
       greater than the cryptanalysis period for the public key needed
       to verify any digital signature applied to archival data.

   2. What is the operational period of the certificates issued to the
       subscriber. What are the usage periods, or active lifetimes, for
       the subscriber's key pair?

4.6.4. Activation Data

   Activation data refers to data values other than whole private keys
   that are required to operate private keys or cryptographic modules
   containing private keys, such as a PIN, passphrase, or portions of a
   private key used in a key-splitting scheme. Protection of activation
   data prevents unauthorized use of the private key, and potentially
   needs to be considered for the issuing CA, subject CAs, RAs, and
   subscribers. Such consideration potentially needs to address the
   entire life-cycle of the activation data from generation through
   archival and destruction. For each of the entity types (issuing CA,
   repository, subject CA, RA, subscriber, and other participants), all
   of the questions listed in 4.6.1 through 4.6.3 potentially need to be
   answered with respect to activation data rather than with respect to
   keys.

4.6.5. Computer Security Controls

   This subcomponent is used to describe computer security controls such
   as: use of the trusted computing base concept, discretionary access
   control, labels, mandatory access controls, object re-use, audit,
   identification and authentication, trusted path, security testing,
   and penetration testing. Product assurance may also be addressed.

A computer security rating for computer systems may be required. The
rating could be based, for example, on the Trusted System Evaluation
Criteria (TCSEC), Canadian Trusted Products Evaluation Criteria,
European Information Technology Security Evaluation Criteria (ITSEC),
or the Common Criteria for Information Technology Security
Evaluation, ISO/IEC 15408:1999. This subcomponent can also address
requirements for product evaluation analysis, testing, profiling,
product certification, and/or product accreditation related activity
undertaken.

4.6.6. Life Cycle Security Controls

This subcomponent addresses system development controls and security
management controls.

System development controls include development environment security,
development personnel security, configuration management security
during product maintenance, software engineering practices, software
development methodology, modularity, layering, use of failsafe design
and implementation techniques (e.g., defensive programming) and
development facility security.

Security management controls include execution of tools and
procedures to ensure that the operational systems and networks adhere
to configured security. These tools and procedures include checking
the integrity of the security software, firmware, and hardware to
ensure their correct operation.

This subcomponent can also address life-cycle security ratings based,
for example, on the Trusted Software Development Methodology (TSDM)
level IV and V, independent life-cycle security controls audit, and
the Software Engineering Institute's Capability Maturity Model (SEI-
CMM).

4.6.7. Network Security Controls

This subcomponent addresses network security related controls,
including firewalls.

4.6.8. Time-stamping

This subcomponent addresses requirements or practices relating to the
use of timestamps on various data. It may also discuss whether or
not the time-stamping application must use a trusted time source.

4.7. Certificate and CRL Profiles

   This component is used to specify the certificate format and, if CRLs
   and/or OCSP are used, the CRL and/or OCSP format. This includes
   information on profiles, versions, and extensions used.

4.7.1. Certificate Profile

   This subcomponent addresses such topics as the following (potentially
   by reference to a separate profile definition, such as the one
   defined in IETF PKIX RFC 3280):

   * Version number(s) supported;

   * Certificate extensions populated and their criticality;

   * Cryptographic algorithm object identifiers;

   * Name forms used for the CA, RA, and subscriber names;

   * Name constraints used and the name forms used in the name
      constraints;

   * Applicable CP OID(s);

   * Usage of the policy constraints extension;

   * Policy qualifiers syntax and semantics; and

   * Processing semantics for the critical CP extension.

4.7.2. CRL Profile

   This subcomponent addresses such topics as the following (potentially
   by reference to a separate profile definition, such as the one
   defined in IETF PKIX RFC 3280):

   * Version numbers supported for CRLs; and

   * CRL and CRL entry extensions populated and their criticality.

4.7.3. OCSP Profile

   This subcomponent addresses such topics as the following (potentially
   by reference to a separate profile definition, such as the IETF RFC
   2560 profile):

   * Version of OCSP that is being used as the basis for establishing
      an OCSP system; and

   * OCSP extensions populated and their criticality.

4.8. Compliance Audit and Other Assessment

   This component addresses the following:

   * The list of topics covered by the assessment and/or the assessment
      methodology used to perform the assessment; examples include
      WebTrust for CAs (9) and SAS 70 (10).

   * Frequency of compliance audit or other assessment for each entity
      that must be assessed pursuant to a CP or CPS, or the
      circumstances that will trigger an assessment; possibilities
      include an annual audit, pre-operational assessment as a condition
      of allowing an entity to be operational, or investigation
      following a possible or actual compromise of security.

   * The identity and/or qualifications of the personnel performing the
      audit or other assessment.

   * The relationship between the assessor and the entity being
      assessed, including the degree of independence of the assessor.

   * Actions taken as a result of deficiencies found during the
      assessment; examples include a temporary suspension of operations
      until deficiencies are corrected, revocation of certificates
      issued to the assessed entity, changes in personnel, triggering
      special investigations or more frequent subsequent compliance
      assessments, and claims for damages against the assessed entity.

   * Who is entitled to see results of an assessment (e.g., assessed
      entity, other participants, the general public), who provides them
      (e.g., the assessor or the assessed entity), and how they are
      communicated.

4.9. Other Business and Legal Matters

   This component covers general business and legal matters. Sections
   9.1 and 9.2 of the framework discuss the business issues of fees to
   be charged for various services and the financial responsibility of
   participants to maintain resources for ongoing operations and for
   paying judgments or settlements in response to claims asserted
   against them. The remaining sections are generally concerned with
   legal topics.

Starting with Section 9.3 of the framework, the ordering of topics is the same as or similar to the ordering of topics in a typical software licensing agreement or other technology agreement. Consequently, this framework may not only be used for CPs and CPSs, but also associated PKI-related agreements, especially subscriber agreements, and relying party agreements. This ordering is intended help lawyers review CPs, CPSs, and other documents adhering to this framework.

With respect to many of the legal subcomponents within this component, a CP or CPS drafter may choose to include in the document terms and conditions that apply directly to subscribers or relying parties. For instance, a CP or CPS may set forth limitations of liability that apply to subscribers and relying parties. The inclusion of terms and conditions is likely to be appropriate where the CP or CPS is itself a contract or part of a contract.

In other cases, however, the CP or CPS is not a contract or part of a contract; instead, it is configured so that its terms and conditions are applied to the parties by separate documents, which may include associated agreements, such as subscriber or relying party agreements. In that event, a CP drafter may write a CP so as to require that certain legal terms and conditions appear (or not appear) in such associated agreements. For example, a CP might include a subcomponent stating that a certain limitation of liability term must appear in a CA's subscriber and relying party agreements. Another example is a CP that contains a subcomponent prohibiting the use of a subscriber or relying party agreement containing a limitation upon CA liability inconsistent with the provisions of the CP. A CPS drafter may use legal subcomponents to disclose that certain terms and conditions appear in associated subscriber, relying party, or other agreements in use by the CA. A CPS might explain, for instance, that the CA writing it uses an associated subscriber or relying party agreement that applies a particular provision for limiting liability.

4.9.1. Fees

This subcomponent contains any applicable provisions regarding fees charged by CAs, repositories, or RAs, such as:

* Certificate issuance or renewal fees;

* Certificate access fees;

* Revocation or status information access fees;

     * Fees for other services such as providing access to the relevant
        CP or CPS; and

     * Refund policy.

4.9.2. Financial Responsibility

   This subcomponent contains requirements or disclosures relating to
   the resources available to CAs, RAs, and other participants providing
   certification services to support performance of their operational
   PKI responsibilities, and to remain solvent and pay damages in the
   event they are liable to pay a judgment or settlement in connection
   with a claim arising out of such operations. Such provisions
   include:

     * A statement that the participant maintains a certain amount of
        insurance coverage for its liabilities to other participants;

     * A statement that a participant has access to other resources to
        support operations and pay damages for potential liability, which
        may be couched in terms of a minimum level of assets necessary to
        operate and cover contingencies that might occur within a PKI,
        where examples include assets on the balance sheet of an
        organization, a surety bond, a letter of credit, and a right under
        an agreement to an indemnity under certain circumstances; and

     * A statement that a participant has a program that offers first-
        party insurance or warranty protection to other participants in
        connection with their use of the PKI.

4.9.3. Confidentiality of Business Information

   This subcomponent contains provisions relating to the treatment of
   confidential business information that participants may communicate
   to each other, such as business plans, sales information, trade
   secrets, and information received from a third party under a
   nondisclosure agreement. Specifically, this subcomponent addresses:

     * The scope of what is considered confidential information,

     * The types of information that are considered to be outside the
        scope of confidential information, and

     * The responsibilities of participants that receive confidential
        information to secure it from compromise, and refrain from using
        it or disclosing it to third parties.

4.9.4. Privacy of Personal Information

   This subcomponent relates to the protection that participants,
   particularly CAs, RAs, and repositories, may be required to afford to
   personally identifiable private information of certificate
   applicants, subscribers, and other participants. Specifically, this
   subcomponent addresses the following, to the extent pertinent under
   applicable law:

   * The designation and disclosure of the applicable privacy plan that
      applies to a participant's activities, if required by applicable
      law or policy;

   * Information that is or is not considered private within the PKI;

   * Any responsibility of participants that receive private
      information to secure it, and refrain from using it and from
      disclosing it to third parties;

   * Any requirements as to notices to, or consent from individuals
      regarding use or disclosure of private information; and

   * Any circumstances under which a participant is entitled or
      required to disclose private information pursuant to judicial,
      administrative process in a private or governmental proceeding, or
      in any legal proceeding.

4.9.5. Intellectual Property Rights

   This subcomponent addresses the intellectual property rights, such as
   copyright, patent, trademarks, or trade secrets, that certain
   participants may have or claim in a CP, CPS, certificates, names, and
   keys, or are the subject of a license to or from participants.

4.9.6. Representations and Warranties

   This subcomponent can include representations and warranties of
   various entities that are being made pursuant to the CP or CPS. For
   example, a CPS that serves as a contract might contain a CA's
   warranty that information contained in the certificate is accurate.
   Alternatively, a CPS might contain a less extensive warranty to the
   effect that the information in the certificate is true to the best of
   the CA's knowledge after performing certain identity authentication
   procedures with due diligence. This subcomponent can also include
   requirements that representations and warranties appear in certain
   agreements, such as subscriber or relying party agreements. For
   instance, a CP may contain a requirement that all CAs utilize a
   subscriber agreement, and that a subscriber agreement must contain a

warranty by the CA that information in the certificate is accurate.
Participants that may make representations and warranties include
CAs, RAs, subscribers, relying parties, and other participants.

4.9.7. Disclaimers of Warranties

This subcomponent can include disclaimers of express warranties that
may otherwise be deemed to exist in an agreement, and disclaimers of
implied warranties that may otherwise be imposed by applicable law,
such as warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular
purpose. The CP or CPS may directly impose such disclaimers, or the
CP or CPS may contain a requirement that disclaimers appear in
associated agreements, such as subscriber or relying party
agreements.

4.9.8. Limitations of Liability

This subcomponent can include limitations of liability in a CP or CPS
or limitations that appear or must appear in an agreement associated
with the CP or CPS, such as a subscriber or relying party agreement.
These limitations may fall into one of two categories: limitations
on the elements of damages recoverable and limitations on the amount
of damages recoverable, also known as liability caps. Often,
contracts contain clauses preventing the recovery of elements of
damages such as incidental and consequential damages, and sometimes
punitive damages. Frequently, contracts contain clauses that limit
the possible recovery of one party or the other to an amount certain
or to an amount corresponding to a benchmark, such as the amount a
vendor was paid under the contract.

4.9.9. Indemnities

This subcomponent includes provisions by which one party makes a
second party whole for losses or damage incurred by the second party,
typically arising out of the first party's conduct. They may appear
in a CP, CPS, or agreement. For example, a CP may require that
subscriber agreements contain a term under which a subscriber is
responsible for indemnifying a CA for losses the CA sustains arising
out of a subscriber's fraudulent misrepresentations on the
certificate application under which the CA issued the subscriber an
inaccurate certificate.   Similarly, a CPS may say that a CA uses a
relying party agreement, under which relying parties are responsible
for indemnifying a CA for losses the CA sustains arising out of use
of a certificate without properly checking revocation information or
use of a certificate for purposes beyond what the CA permits.

4.9.10. Term and Termination

   This subcomponent can include the time period in which a CP or a CPS
   remains in force and the circumstances under which the document,
   portions of the document, or its applicability to a particular
   participant can be terminated. In addition or alternatively, the CP
   or CPS may include requirements that certain term and termination
   clauses appear in agreements, such as subscriber or relying party
   agreements. In particular, such terms can include:

   * The term of a document or agreement, that is, when the document
      becomes effective and when it expires if it is not terminated
      earlier.

   * Termination provisions stating circumstances under which the
      document, certain portions of it, or its application to a
      particular participant ceases to remain in effect.

   * Any consequences of termination of the document. For example,
      certain provisions of an agreement may survive its termination and
      remain in force. Examples include acknowledgements of
      intellectual property rights and confidentiality provisions.
      Also, termination may trigger a responsibility of parties to
      return confidential information to the party that disclosed it.

4.9.11. Individual notices and communications with participants

   This subcomponent discusses the way in which one participant can or
   must communicate with another participant on a one-to-one basis in
   order for such communications to be legally effective. For example,
   an RA may wish to inform the CA that it wishes to terminate its
   agreement with the CA. This subcomponent is different from
   publication and repository functions, because unlike individual
   communications described in this subcomponent, publication and
   posting to a repository are for the purpose of communicating to a
   wide audience of recipients, such as all relying parties. This
   subcomponent may establish mechanisms for communication and indicate
   the contact information to be used to route such communications, such
   as digitally signed e-mail notices to a specified address, followed
   by a signed e-mail acknowledgement of receipt.

4.9.12. Amendments

   It will occasionally be necessary to amend a CP or CPS. Some of
   these changes will not materially reduce the assurance that a CP or
   its implementation provides, and will be judged by the policy
   administrator to have an insignificant effect on the acceptability of
   certificates. Such changes to a CP or CPS need not require a change

in the CP OID or the CPS pointer (URL). On the other hand, some
changes to a specification will materially change the acceptability
of certificates for specific purposes, and these changes may require
corresponding changes to the CP OID or CPS pointer qualifier (URL).

This subcomponent may also contain the following information:

* The procedures by which the CP or CPS and/or other documents must,
   may be, or are amended. In the case of CP or CPS amendments,
   change procedures may include a notification mechanism to provide
   notice of proposed amendments to affected parties, such as
   subscribers and relying parties, a comment period, a mechanism by
   which comments are received, reviewed and incorporated into the
   document, and a mechanism by which amendments become final and
   effective.

* The circumstances under which amendments to the CP or CPS would
   require a change in CP OID or CPS pointer (URL).

4.9.13. Dispute Resolution Procedures

This subcomponent discusses procedures utilized to resolve disputes
arising out of the CP, CPS, and/or agreements. Examples of such
procedures include requirements that disputes be resolved in a
certain forum or by alternative dispute resolution mechanisms.

4.9.14. Governing Law

This subcomponent sets forth a statement that the law of a certain
jurisdiction governs the interpretation and enforcement of the
subject CP or CPS or agreements.

4.9.15. Compliance with Applicable Law

This subcomponent relates to stated requirements that participants
comply with applicable law, for example, laws relating to
cryptographic hardware and software that may be subject to the export
control laws of a given jurisdiction. The CP or CPS could purport to
impose such requirements or may require that such provisions appear
in other agreements.

4.9.16. Miscellaneous Provisions

This subcomponent contains miscellaneous provisions, sometimes called
"boilerplate provisions," in contracts. The clauses covered in this
subcomponent may appear in a CP, CPS, or agreements and include:

   * An entire agreement clause, which typically identifies the
      document or documents comprising the entire agreement between the
      parties and states that such agreements supersede all prior and
      contemporaneous written or oral understandings relating to the
      same subject matter;

   * An assignment clause, which may act to limit the ability of a
      party in an agreement, assigning its rights under the agreement to
      another party (such as the right to receive a stream of payments
      in the future) or limiting the ability of a party to delegate its
      obligations under the agreement;

   * A severability clause, which sets forth the intentions of the
      parties in the event that a court or other tribunal determines
      that a clause within an agreement is, for some reason, invalid or
      unenforceable, and whose purpose is frequently to prevent the
      unenforceability of one clause from causing the whole agreement to
      be unenforceable; and

   * An enforcement clause, which may state that a party prevailing in
      any dispute arising out of an agreement is entitled to attorneys'
      fees as part of its recovery, or may state that a party's waiver
      of one breach of contract does not constitute a continuing waiver
      or a future waiver of other breaches of contract.

   * A force majeure clause, commonly used to excuse the performance of
      one or more parties to an agreement due to an event outside the
      reasonable control of the affected party or parties. Typically,
      the duration of the excused performance is commensurate with the
      duration of the delay caused by the event. The clause may also
      provide for the termination of the agreement under specified
      circumstances and conditions. Events considered to constitute a
      "force majeure" may include so-called "Acts of God," wars,
      terrorism, strikes, natural disasters, failures of suppliers or
      vendors to perform, or failures of the Internet or other
      infrastructure. Force majeure clauses should be drafted so as to
      be consistent with other portions of the framework and applicable
      service level agreements. For instance, responsibilities and
      capabilities for business continuity and disaster recovery may
      place some events within the reasonable control of the parties,
      such as an obligation to maintain backup electrical power in the
      face of power outages.

4.9.17. Other Provisions

   This subcomponent is a "catchall" location where additional
   responsibilities and terms can be imposed on PKI participants that do
   not neatly fit within one of the other components or subcomponents of
   the framework. CP and CPS writers can place any provision within
   this subcomponent that is not covered by another subcomponent.

5. Security Considerations

   According to X.509, a certificate policy (CP) is "a named set of
   rules that indicates the applicability of a certificate to a
   particular community and/or class of applications with common
   security requirements." A CP may be used by a relying party to help
   in deciding whether a certificate, and the binding therein, are
   sufficiently trustworthy and otherwise appropriate for a particular
   application.

   The degree to which a relying party can trust the binding embodied in
   a certificate depends on several factors. These factors can include
   the practices followed by the certification authority (CA) in
   authenticating the subject; the CA's operating policy, procedures,
   and technical security controls, including the scope of the
   subscriber's responsibilities (for example, in protecting the private
   key), and the stated responsibilities and liability terms and
   conditions of the CA (for example, warranties, disclaimers of
   warranties, and limitations of liability).

   This document provides a framework to address technical, procedural,
   personnel, and physical security aspects of Certification
   Authorities, Registration Authorities, repositories, subscribers, and
   relying party cryptographic modules, in order to ensure that the
   certificate generation, publication, renewal, re-key, usage, and
   revocation is done in a secure manner. Specifically, Section 4.3
   Identification and Authentication (I&A); Section 4.4 Certificate
   Life-Cycle Operational Requirements; Section 4.5 Facility Management,
   and Operational Controls; Section 4.6 Technical Security Controls;
   Section 4.7 Certificate CRL, and OCSP Profiles; and Section 4.8
   Compliance Audit and Other Assessment, are oriented towards ensuring
   secure operation of the PKI entities such as CA, RA, repository,
   subscriber systems, and relying party systems.

6. Outline of a Set of Provisions

   This section contains a recommended outline for a set of provisions,
   intended to serve as a checklist or (with some further development) a
   standard template for use by CP or CPS writers. Such a common
   outline will facilitate:

(a) Comparison of two certificate policies during cross-
    certification or other forms of interoperation (for the purpose
    of equivalency mapping).

(b) Comparison of a CPS with a CP to ensure that the CPS faithfully
    implements the policy.

(c) Comparison of two CPSs.

In order to comply with the RFC, the drafters of a compliant CP or
CPS are strongly advised to adhere to this outline. While use of an
alternate outline is discouraged, it may be accepted if a proper
justification is provided for the deviation and a mapping table is
provided to readily discern where each of the items described in this
outline is provided.

1.   INTRODUCTION
1.1 Overview
1.2 Document name and identification
1.3 PKI participants
1.3.1 Certification authorities
1.3.2 Registration authorities
1.3.3 Subscribers
1.3.4 Relying parties
1.3.5 Other participants
1.4 Certificate usage
1.4.1. Appropriate certificate uses
1.4.2   Prohibited certificate uses
1.5 Policy administration
1.5.1 Organization administering the document
1.5.2 Contact person
1.5.3 Person determining CPS suitability for the policy
1.5.4 CPS approval procedures
1.6 Definitions and acronyms
2.   PUBLICATION AND REPOSITORY RESPONSIBILITIES
2.1 Repositories
2.2 Publication of certification information
2.3 Time or frequency of publication
2.4 Access controls on repositories
3.   IDENTIFICATION AND AUTHENTICATION (11)
3.1 Naming
3.1.1 Types of names
3.1.2 Need for names to be meaningful
3.1.3 Anonymity or pseudonymity of subscribers
3.1.4 Rules for interpreting various name forms
3.1.5 Uniqueness of names
3.1.6 Recognition, authentication, and role of trademarks
3.2 Initial identity validation

7. Comparison to RFC 2527

   This framework represents an incremental improvement over RFC 2527.
   The new framework benefits from the experience gained in the course
   of deploying CP and CPS documents under RFC 2527. Further, this new
   framework is based on coordination with the American Bar Association
   Information Security Committee within the Section of Science and
   Technology Law. The ISC wrote the PKI Assessment Guidelines [ABA2],
   which embodies a great deal of technical, business, and legal
   experience in PKI operations. In particular, representatives of the
   ISC made changes to the framework to better suite it to the legal
   environment and make it more accessible to lawyers.

   >From a technical perspective, the changes to the RFC 2527 framework
   were minimal and incremental, rather than revolutionary. Sections
   3-7 have largely been preserved, with modest reorganization and new
   topics. For example, the new framework includes a revision of
   Section 4 of the framework to include a full treatment of the
   certificate life-cycle, the addition of key escrow, key
   encapsulation, and key recovery policies and practices, and OCSP.
   Section 2 audit functions now appear alone in Section 8, and Section
   2 focuses exclusively on repository functions. The business and
   legal matters in RFC 2527's Section 2 now appear in a new Section 9.

   From a legal perspective, the new Section 9 is useful because it
   places topics in the framework in an ordering that is similar to
   software licensing and other technology agreements and thus is
   familiar to technology lawyers. Moreover, the framework as a whole
   can double as a framework for a subscriber, relying party, or other
   PKI-related agreement. The changes are intended to make legal review
   of, and input into, CP and CPS documents more efficient. Section 9
   also adds new legal topics, such as the privacy of personal
   information, liability terms, and duration of the effectiveness of
   the document.

   Section 1 of the new framework is largely the same as RFC 2527,
   although it increases coverage of PKI participants by breaking out
   subscribers from relying parties and adding a section for other
   participants. It changes the "applicability" section to one covering
   appropriate and prohibited uses of certificates. Also, it moves CPS

   approval procedures from RFC 2527's Section 8.3 into a collected
   policy administration section. Finally, Section 1.6 adds a place to
   list definitions and acronyms.

   Section 2 of the new framework is a reorganization of Section 2.6 of
   the old framework. Section 3 of the new framework is based on a
   division of the old Section 3.1 into two parts for naming and
   identification and authentication issues. It adds new issues, such
   as the permissibility of pseudonyms and anonymity. Old Section 4
   topics on audit logging, record archives, key changeover, compromise
   and disaster recovery, and CA termination have moved to Section 5.
   The remaining Section 4 topics have been expanded and reorganized to
   cover a complete certificate lifecycle. New topics include items
   implicit in the RFC 2527 Section 4, but now explicit, such as
   certificate application processing, certificate modification, and the
   end of subscription.

   New Sections 5.1 through 5.3 are almost identical to their
   counterparts in RFC 2527. The remainder of the new Section 5 is the
   topics moved from RFC 2527's Section 4, in the order that they
   appeared in Section 4. Section 6 of the new framework is almost the
   same as the old Section 6, with some exceptions, such as the
   consolidation of old Section 6.8 (cryptographic module engineering
   controls) into Section 6.2.1 (now called "cryptographic module
   standards and controls") and the addition of time-stamping in a new
   Section 6.8. Section 7 is almost identical to the old Section 7, the
   major change being the addition of a section covering OCSP profile.
   Section 8 is almost identical to RFC 2527's Section 2.7.

   New Section 9 contains business and legal topics that were covered in
   RFC 2527's Section 2, including fees, financial responsibility,
   confidentiality, and intellectual property. It adds a section on the
   privacy of personal information, which has become a significant
   policy issue. The "liability" Section 2.2 in RFC 2527 now appears in
   Sections 9.6 through 9.9, covering representations and warranties,
   disclaimers, limitations of liability, and indemnities. Section 9.10
   adds a section concerning the duration of the effectiveness of
   documentation. Section 9.12 collects terms concerning the way in
   which a document (CP, CPS, agreement, or other document) may be
   amended, formerly appearing in Section 8.1. Section 9 includes
   "legal boilerplate" topics, some of which were in the old Section 2.
   Finally, Section 9.17 is a catch-all "other provisions" section where
   drafters can place information that does not fit well into any other
   section of the framework.

   The following matrix shows the sections in the old RFC 2527 framework
   and their successor sections in the new framework.

```
ORIGINAL RFC 2527                  NEW RFC SECTION
     SECTION
--------------------------------------------------------
1. Introduction                       1.
--------------------------------------------------------
1.1 Overview                          1.1
--------------------------------------------------------
1.2 Identification                    1.2
--------------------------------------------------------
1.3 Community and
    Applicability                     1.3
--------------------------------------------------------
1.3.1 Certification
      Authorities                     1.3.1
--------------------------------------------------------
1.3.2 Registration Authorities        1.3.2
--------------------------------------------------------
1.3.3 End entities                    1.3.3,
                                      1.3.4
--------------------------------------------------------
1.3.4 Applicability                   1.4, 4.5
--------------------------------------------------------
1.4 Contact Details                   1.5
--------------------------------------------------------
1.4.1 Specification Administration
      Organization                    1.5.1
--------------------------------------------------------
1.4.2 Contact Person                  1.5.2
--------------------------------------------------------
1.4.3 Person Determining CPS
      Suitability for the Policy      1.5.3
--------------------------------------------------------
2. General Provisions                 2, 8, 9
--------------------------------------------------------
2.1 Obligations                       2.6.4
--------------------------------------------------------
2.1.1 1A Obligations                  Integrated
                                      throughout
                                      portions of the
                                      framework that
                                      apply to CAs
--------------------------------------------------------
2.1.2 RA Obligations                  Integrated
                                      throughout
                                      portions of the
                                      framework that
                                      apply to RAs
```

```
          --------------------------------------------------
          2.1.3 Subscriber Obligations         4.1.2, 4.4, 4.5,
                                               4.5.1, 4.6.5,
                                               4.7.5, 4.8.1,
                                               4.8.5, 4.9.1,
                                               4.9.2, 4.9.13,
                                               4.9.15, 5., 6.,
                                               9.6.3, 9.9
          --------------------------------------------------
          2.1.4 Relying Party Obligations   4.5, 4.5.2, 4.9.6,
                                               5., 6., 9.6.4, 9.9
          --------------------------------------------------
          2.1.5 Repository Obligations      2., 4.4.2, 4.4.3,
                                               4.6.6, 4.6.7,
                                               4.7.6, 4.7.7,
                                               4.8.6, 4.8.7
          --------------------------------------------------
          2.2 Liability                     9.6, 9.7, 9.8, 9.9
          --------------------------------------------------
          2.2.1 CA Liability                9.6.1, 9.7., 9.8,
                                               9.9
          --------------------------------------------------
          2.2.2 RA Liability                9.6.2, 9.7, 9.8, 9.9
          --------------------------------------------------
          2.3 Financial Responsibility           9.2
          --------------------------------------------------
          2.3.1 Indemnification by Relying
                Parties                          9.9
          --------------------------------------------------
          2.3.2 Fiduciary Relationships          9.7
          --------------------------------------------------
          2.4 Interpretation and Enforcement     9.16
          --------------------------------------------------
          2.4.1 Governing Law                   9.14, 9.15
          --------------------------------------------------
          2.4.2 Severability, Survival,
                Merger, Notice              9.10.3, 9.11,
                                            9.16.1,9.16.3
          --------------------------------------------------
          2.4.3 Dispute Resolution
                Procedures                  9.13, 9.16.4
          --------------------------------------------------
          2.5 Fees                               9.1
          --------------------------------------------------
          2.5.1 Certificate Issuance
                or Renewal Fees                  9.1.1
          --------------------------------------------------
          2.5.2 Certificate Access Fees          9.1.2
```

```
     --------------------------------------------------------
     4.4.9 CRL Issuance Frequency
           (If Applicable)                 4.9.7, 4.9.8,
                                           4.10
     --------------------------------------------------------
     4.4.10 CRL Checking Requirements      4.9.6, 4.10
     --------------------------------------------------------
     4.4.11 On-Line Revocation/
            Status Checking
            Availability                   4.9.9, 4.10
     --------------------------------------------------------
     4.4.12 On-Line Revocation
            Checking Requirements          4.9.6, 4.9.10,
                                           4.10
     --------------------------------------------------------
     4.4.13 Other Forms
            of Revocation
            Advertisements                 4.9.11, 4.10
     --------------------------------------------------------
     4.4.14 Checking Requirements
            for Other Forms of
            Revocation
            Advertisements                 4.9.6, 4.9.11,
                                           4.10
     --------------------------------------------------------
     4.4.15 Special Requirements re
            Key Compromise                 4.9.12
     --------------------------------------------------------
     4.5 Security Audit Procedures         5.4
     --------------------------------------------------------
     4.5.1 Types of Events Recorded        5.4.1
     --------------------------------------------------------
     4.5.2 Frequency of Processing Log     5.4.2
     --------------------------------------------------------
     4.5.3 Retention Period for Audit
           Log                             5.4.3
     --------------------------------------------------------
     4.5.4 Protection of Audit Log         5.4.4
     --------------------------------------------------------
     4.5.5 Audit Log Backup Procedures     5.4.5
     --------------------------------------------------------
     4.5.6 Audit Collection System
           (Internal vs. External)         5.4.6
     --------------------------------------------------------
     4.5.7 Notification to Event-Causing
           Subject                         5.4.7
     --------------------------------------------------------
     4.5.8 Vulnerability Assessments       5.4.8
```

```
          ----------------------------------------------------------
          4.6 Records Archival                      5.5
          ----------------------------------------------------------
          4.6.1 Types of Records Archived           5.5.1
          ----------------------------------------------------------
          4.6.2 Retention Period for Archive        5.5.2
          ----------------------------------------------------------
          4.6.3 Protection of Archive               5.5.3
          ----------------------------------------------------------
          4.6.4 Archive Backup Procedures           5.5.4
          ----------------------------------------------------------
          4.6.5 Requirements for
                Time-Stamping of Records            5.5.5
          ----------------------------------------------------------
          4.6.6 Archive Collection System
                (Internal or External)              5.5.6
          ----------------------------------------------------------
          4.6.6 Procedures to Obtain and
                Verify Archive Information           5.5.7
          ----------------------------------------------------------
          4.7 Key Changeover                        5.6
          ----------------------------------------------------------
          4.8 Compromise and Disaster
              Recovery                              5.7, 5.7.1
          ----------------------------------------------------------
          4.8.1 Computing Resources, Software,
                and/or Data Are Corrupted           5.7.2
          ----------------------------------------------------------
          4.8.2 Entity Public
                Key is Revoked                      4.9.7, 4.9.9,
                                                    4.9.11
          ----------------------------------------------------------
          4.8.3 Entity Key is Compromised           5.7.3
          ----------------------------------------------------------
          4.8.4 Secure Facility After a Natural
                or Other Type of Disaster           5.7.4
          ----------------------------------------------------------
          4.9 CA Termination                        5.8
          ----------------------------------------------------------
          5. Physical, Procedural, and
             Personnel Security Controls            5.
          ----------------------------------------------------------
          5.1 Physical Controls                     5.1
          ----------------------------------------------------------
          5.1.1 Site Location and Construction      5.1.1
          ----------------------------------------------------------
          5.1.2 Physical Access                     5.1.2
```

```
     ---------------------------------------------------------
     6. Technical Security Controls            6.
     ---------------------------------------------------------
     6.1 Key Pair Generation and
         Installation                         6.1
     ---------------------------------------------------------
     6.1.1 Key Pair Generation                6.1.1
     ---------------------------------------------------------
     6.1.2 Private Key Delivery to Entity     6.1.2
     ---------------------------------------------------------
     6.1.3 Public Key Delivery to
         Certificate Issuer                   6.1.3
     ---------------------------------------------------------
     6.1.4 CA Public Key Delivery to Users    6.1.4
     ---------------------------------------------------------
     6.1.5 Key Sizes                          6.1.5
     ---------------------------------------------------------
     6.1.6 Public Key Parameters Generation   6.1.6
     ---------------------------------------------------------
     6.1.7 Parameter Quality Checking         6.1.6
     ---------------------------------------------------------
     6.1.8 Hardware/Software Key Generation   6.1.1
     ---------------------------------------------------------
     6.1.9 Key Usage Purposes
         (as per X.509 v3 Key Usage Field)    6.1.9
     ---------------------------------------------------------
     6.2 Private Key Protection               6.2
     ---------------------------------------------------------
     6.2.1 Standards for Cryptographic
         Module                               6.2.1
     ---------------------------------------------------------

     6.2.2 Private Key (n out of m)
         Multi-Person Control                 6.2.2
     ---------------------------------------------------------
     6.2.3 Private Key Escrow                 6.2.3
     ---------------------------------------------------------
     6.2.4 Private Key Backup                 6.2.4
     ---------------------------------------------------------
     6.2.5 Private Key Archival               6.2.5
     ---------------------------------------------------------
     6.2.6 Private Key Entry Into
         Cryptographic Module            6.2.6, 6.2.7
     ---------------------------------------------------------
     6.2.7 Method of Activating
         Private Key                          6.2.8
```

```
       -------------------------------------------------------
       7.1 Certificate Profile                  7.1
       -------------------------------------------------------
       7.1.1 Version Number(s)                  7.1.1
       -------------------------------------------------------
       7.1.2 Certificate Extensions             7.1.2
       -------------------------------------------------------
       7.1.3 Algorithm Object Identifiers       7.1.3
       -------------------------------------------------------
       7.1.4 Name Forms                         7.1.4
       -------------------------------------------------------
       7.1.5 Name Constraints                   7.1.5
       -------------------------------------------------------
       7.1.6 Certificate Policy Object
             Identifier                         7.1.6
       -------------------------------------------------------
       7.1.7 Usage of Policy Constraints
             Extension                          7.1.7
       -------------------------------------------------------
       7.1.8 Policy Qualifiers Syntax
             and Semantics                      7.1.8
       -------------------------------------------------------
       7.1.9 Processing Semantics for
             the Critical Certificate
             Policies Extension                 7.1.9
       -------------------------------------------------------
       7.2 CRL Profile                          7.2
       -------------------------------------------------------
       7.2.1 Version Number(s)                  7.2.1
       -------------------------------------------------------
       7.2.2 CRL and CRL Entry Extensions       7.2.1
       -------------------------------------------------------
       8. Specification Administration          N/A
       -------------------------------------------------------
       8.1 Specification Change
           Procedures                           9.12
       -------------------------------------------------------
       8.2 Publication and Notification
           Policies                             2.2, 2.3
       -------------------------------------------------------
       8.3 CPS Approval Procedures              1.5.4
       -------------------------------------------------------
```

The following matrix shows the sections in the new framework and the
sections in RFC 2527 to which the headings in the new framework
correspond.

```
NEW RFC SECTION                     ORIGINAL RFC 2527
                                    SECTION
----------------------------------------------------------
1. Introduction                     1.
----------------------------------------------------------
1.1 Overview                        1.1
----------------------------------------------------------
1.2 Document Name and Identification    1.2
----------------------------------------------------------
1.3 PKI Participants                1.3
----------------------------------------------------------
1.3.1 Certification Authorities     1.3.1
----------------------------------------------------------
1.3.2 Registration Authorities      1.3.2
----------------------------------------------------------
1.3.3 Subscribers                   1.3.3
----------------------------------------------------------
1.3.4 Relying Parties               1.3.3
----------------------------------------------------------
1.3.5 Other Participants            N/A
----------------------------------------------------------
1.4 Certificate Usage               1.3.4
----------------------------------------------------------
1.4.1 Appropriate Certificate Uses    1.3.4
----------------------------------------------------------
1.4.2 Prohibited Certificate Uses    1.3.4
----------------------------------------------------------
1.5 Policy Administration           1.4
----------------------------------------------------------
1.5.1 Organization Administering
      the Document                  1.4.1
----------------------------------------------------------
1.5.2 Contact Person                1.4.2
----------------------------------------------------------
1.5.3 Person Determining CPS
      Suitability for the Policy    1.4.3
----------------------------------------------------------
1.5.4 CPS Approval Procedures       8.3
----------------------------------------------------------
1.6 Definitions and Acronyms        N/A
----------------------------------------------------------
2. Publication and Repository
   Responsibilities                 2.1.5, 2.6
```

```
-------------------------------------------------------
2.1 Repositories                            2.6.4
-------------------------------------------------------
2.2 Publication of Certification
    Information                             2.6.1, 8.2
-------------------------------------------------------
2.3 Time or Frequency of
    Publication                             2.6.2, 8.2
-------------------------------------------------------
2.4 Access Controls on Repositories         2.6.3
-------------------------------------------------------
3. Identification and Authentication        3.
-------------------------------------------------------
3.1 Naming                                  3.1
-------------------------------------------------------
3.1.1 Type of Names                         3.1.1
-------------------------------------------------------
3.1.2 Need for Names to be Meaningful       3.1.2
-------------------------------------------------------
3.1.3. Anonymity or Pseudonymity of
       Subscribers                          3.1.2
-------------------------------------------------------
3.1.4 Rules for Interpreting Various
      Name Forms                            3.1.3
-------------------------------------------------------
3.1.5 Uniqueness of Names                   3.1.4
-------------------------------------------------------
3.1.6 Recognition, Authentication,
      and Role of Trademarks        3.1.5, 3.1.6
-------------------------------------------------------
3.2 Initial Identity Validation             3.1
-------------------------------------------------------
3.2.1 Method to Prove Possession
      of Private Key                        3.1.7
-------------------------------------------------------
3.2.2 Authentication of
      Organization Identity                 3.1.8
-------------------------------------------------------
3.2.3 Authentication of Individual
      Identity                              3.1.9
-------------------------------------------------------
3.2.4 Non-Verified Subscriber
      Information                           N/A
-------------------------------------------------------
3.2.5 Validation of Authority               3.1.9
```

```
---------------------------------------------------------
4.4 Certificate Acceptance                2.1.3, 4.3
---------------------------------------------------------
4.4.1 Conduct Constituting
      Certificate Acceptance              4.3
---------------------------------------------------------
4.4.2 Publication of the
      Certificate by the CA       2.1.5, 2.6.1, 4.3
---------------------------------------------------------
4.4.3 Notification of
      Certificate Issuance by
      the CA to Other Entities    2.1.5, 2.6.1,
                                  4.2, 4.3
---------------------------------------------------------
4.5 Key Pair and
    Certificate Usage             1.3.4, 2.1.3,
                                  2.1.4
---------------------------------------------------------
4.5.1 Subscriber Private Key
      and Certificate Usage       1.3.4, 2.1.3
---------------------------------------------------------
4.5.2 Relying Party Public
      Key and Certificate
      Usage                       1.3.4, 2.1.4
---------------------------------------------------------
4.6 Certificate Renewal           3.2, 4.1, 4.2,
                                  4.3
---------------------------------------------------------
4.6.1 Circumstances for
      Certificate Renewal         3.2, 4.1
---------------------------------------------------------
4.6.2 Who May Request Renewal     3.2, 4.1
---------------------------------------------------------
4.6.3 Processing Certificate
      Renewal Requests            3.2, 4.1, 4.2
---------------------------------------------------------
4.6.4 Notification of New
      Certificate Issuance to
      Subscriber                  3.2, 4.2, 4.3
---------------------------------------------------------
4.6.5 Conduct Constituting
      Acceptance of a Renewal
      Certificate                 2.1.3, 3.2, 4.3
---------------------------------------------------------
4.6.6 Publication of the
      Renewal Certificate
      by the CA                   2.1.5, 2.6.1,
                                  3.2, 4.3
```

```
       -------------------------------------------------------
       4.6.7 Notification of
             Certificate Issuance by
             the CA to Other Entities    2.1.5, 2.6.1, 3.2,
                                         4.2, 4.3
       -------------------------------------------------------
       4.7 Certificate Re-Key           3.2, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3
       -------------------------------------------------------
       4.7.1 Circumstances for
             Certificate Re-Key         3.2, 4.1
       -------------------------------------------------------
       4.7.2 Who May Request Certification
             of a New Public Key        3.2, 4.1
       -------------------------------------------------------
       4.7.3 Processing Certificate
             Re-Keying Requests         3.2, 4.1, 4.2
       -------------------------------------------------------
       4.7.4 Notification of New
             Certificate Issuance to
             Subscriber                 3.2, 4.2, 4.3
       -------------------------------------------------------
       4.7.5 Conduct Constituting
             Acceptance of a
             Re-Keyed Certificate       2.1.3, 3.2, 4.3
       -------------------------------------------------------
       4.7.6 Publication of the
             Re-Keyed Certificate
             by the CA                  2.1.5, 2.6.1,
                                        3.2, 4.3
       -------------------------------------------------------
       4.7.7 Notification of Certificate
             Issuance by the CA
             to Other Entities          2.1.5, 2.6.1,
                                        3.2, 4.2, 4.3
       -------------------------------------------------------
       4.8 Certificate Modification          4.4
       -------------------------------------------------------
       4.8.1 Circumstances for
             Certificate Modification   2.1.3, 4.4.1
       -------------------------------------------------------
       4.8.2 Who May Request Certificate
             Modification               4.4.2
       -------------------------------------------------------
       4.8.3 Processing Certificate
             Modification Requests      4.4.3
```

```
      ---------------------------------------------------------
      4.8.4 Notification of New
            Certificate Issuance to
            Subscriber                       4.2, 4.3, 4.4.3
      ---------------------------------------------------------
      4.8.5 Conduct Constituting
            Acceptance of Modified
            Certificate                      2.1.3, 4.3, 4.4.3
      ---------------------------------------------------------
      4.8.6 Publication of the Modified
            Certificate by
            the CA                           2.1.5, 2.6.1,
                                             4.2, 4.3, 4.4.3
      ---------------------------------------------------------
      4.8.7 Notification of
            Certificate Issuance by
            the CA to Other
            Entities                         2.1.5, 2.6.1,
                                             4.2, 4.3, 4.4.3
      ---------------------------------------------------------
      4.9 Certificate Revocation
          and Suspension                     4.4
      ---------------------------------------------------------
      4.9.1 Circumstances for Revocation  2.1.3, 4.4.1
      ---------------------------------------------------------
      4.9.2 Who Can Request Revocation     4.4.2
      ---------------------------------------------------------
      4.9.3 Procedure for Revocation
            Request                          2.1.3, 4.4.3
      ---------------------------------------------------------
      4.9.4 Revocation Request Grace
            Period                           4.4.4
      ---------------------------------------------------------
      4.9.5 Time Within Which CA Must
            Process the Revocation Request   N/A
      ---------------------------------------------------------
      4.9.6 Revocation Checking
            Requirements for Relying
            Parties                          2.1.4, 4.4.10,
                                             4.4.12, 4.4.14
      ---------------------------------------------------------
      4.9.7 CRL Issuance Frequency         4.4.9, 4.8.3
      ---------------------------------------------------------
      4.9.8 Maximum Latency for CRLs       4.4.9
      ---------------------------------------------------------
      4.9.9 On-Line Revocation/Status
            Checking Availability            4.4.11, 4.8.3
```

```
         --------------------------------------------------------
         4.9.10 On-Line Revocation
                Checking Requirements       4.4.12
         --------------------------------------------------------
         4.9.11 Other Forms of Revocation
                Advertisements Available    4.4.13, 4.4.14,
                                            4.8.3
         --------------------------------------------------------
         4.9.12 Special Requirements re
                Key Compromise              4.4.15
         --------------------------------------------------------
         4.9.13 Circumstances for Suspension  2.1.3, 4.4.5
         --------------------------------------------------------
         4.9.14 Who Can Request Suspension   4.4.6
         --------------------------------------------------------
         4.9.15 Procedure for
                Suspension Request          2.1.3, 4.4.7
         --------------------------------------------------------
         4.9.16 Limits on Suspension Period  4.4.8
         --------------------------------------------------------
         4.10 Certificate Status Services    4.4.9-4.4.14
         --------------------------------------------------------
         4.10.1 Operational
                Characteristics             4.4.9, 4.4.11,
                                            4.4.13
         --------------------------------------------------------
         4.10.2 Service Availability         4.4.9, 4.4.11,
                                            4.4.13
         --------------------------------------------------------
         4.10.3 Operational Features         4.4.9, 4.4.11,
                                            4.4.13
         --------------------------------------------------------
         4.11 End of Subscription                     N/A
         --------------------------------------------------------
         4.12 Key Escrow and Recovery                 6.2.3
         --------------------------------------------------------
         4.12.1 Key Escrow and Recovery Policy
                and Practices                         6.2.3
         --------------------------------------------------------
         4.12.2 Session Key Encapsulation
                and Recovery Policy and
                Practices                             6.2.3
         --------------------------------------------------------
         5. Facility, Management, and
            Operational Controls            2.1.3, 2.1.4,
                                            4., 5.
         --------------------------------------------------------
         5.1 Physical Controls                        5.1
```

```
---------------------------------------------------------
5.1.1 Site Location and Construction        5.1.1
---------------------------------------------------------
5.1.2 Physical Access                       5.1.2
---------------------------------------------------------
5.1.3 Power and Air Conditioning            5.1.3
---------------------------------------------------------
5.1.4 Water Exposures                       5.1.4
---------------------------------------------------------
5.1.5 Fire Prevention and Protection        5.1.5
---------------------------------------------------------
5.1.6 Media Storage                         5.1.6
---------------------------------------------------------
5.1.7 Waste Disposal                        5.1.7
---------------------------------------------------------
5.1.8 Off-Site Backup                       5.1.8
---------------------------------------------------------
5.2 Procedural Controls                     5.2
---------------------------------------------------------
5.2.1 Trusted Roles                         5.2.1
---------------------------------------------------------
5.2.2 Number of Persons Required
      per Task                              5.2.2
---------------------------------------------------------
5.2.3 Identification and
      Authentication for Each Role          5.2.3
---------------------------------------------------------
5.2.4 Roles Requiring Separation
      of Duties                             5.2.1, 5.2.2
---------------------------------------------------------
5.3 Personnel Controls                      5.3
---------------------------------------------------------
5.3.1 Qualifications, Experience,
      and Clearance Requirements            5.3.1
---------------------------------------------------------
5.3.2 Background Check Procedures            5.3.2
---------------------------------------------------------
5.3.3 Training Requirements                 5.3.3
---------------------------------------------------------
5.3.4 Retraining Frequency
      and Requirements                      5.3.4
---------------------------------------------------------
5.3.5 Job Rotation Frequency
      and Sequence                          5.3.5
---------------------------------------------------------
5.3.6 Sanctions for Unauthorized
      Actions                               5.3.6
```

```
      ---------------------------------------------------
      5.6 Key Changeover                      4.7
      ---------------------------------------------------
      5.7 Compromise and Disaster Recovery    4.8
      ---------------------------------------------------
      5.7.1 Incident and Compromise
            Handling Procedures               4.8
      ---------------------------------------------------
      5.7.2 Computing Resources, Software,
            and/or Data Are Corrupted         4.8.1
      ---------------------------------------------------
      5.7.3 Entity Private Key
            Compromise Procedures             4.8.3
      ---------------------------------------------------
      5.7.4 Business Continuity
            Capabilities After a
            Disaster                          4.8.4
      ---------------------------------------------------
      5.8 CA or RA Termination                4.9
      ---------------------------------------------------
      6. Technical Security Controls          2.1.3, 2.1.4,
                                              6.
      ---------------------------------------------------
      6.1 Key Pair Generation and
          Installation                        6.1
      ---------------------------------------------------
      6.1.1 Key Pair Generation               6.1.1, 6.1.8
      ---------------------------------------------------
      6.1.2 Private Key Delivery to
            Subscriber                        6.1.2
      ---------------------------------------------------
      6.1.3 Public Key Delivery to
            Certificate Issuer                6.1.3
      ---------------------------------------------------
      6.1.4 CA Public Key Delivery to
            Relying Parties                   6.1.4
      ---------------------------------------------------
      6.1.5 Key Sizes                         6.1.5
      ---------------------------------------------------
      6.1.6 Public Key Parameters Generation
            and Quality Checking              6.1.6, 6.1.7
      ---------------------------------------------------
      6.1.7 Key Usage Purposes
            (as per X.509 v3
            Key Usage Field)                  6.1.9
```

```
---------------------------------------------------------
6.2    Private Key Protection and
       Cryptographic Module
       Engineering Controls          6.2, 6.8
---------------------------------------------------------
6.2.1 Cryptographic Module Standards
      and Controls                   6.2.1, 6.8
---------------------------------------------------------
6.2.2 Private Key (n out of m)
      Multi-Person Control           6.2.2
---------------------------------------------------------
6.2.3 Private Key Escrow             6.2.3
---------------------------------------------------------
6.2.4 Private Key Backup             6.2.4
---------------------------------------------------------
6.2.5 Private Key Archival           6.2.5
---------------------------------------------------------
6.2.6 Private Key Transfer Into
      or From a Cryptographic
      Module                         6.2.6
---------------------------------------------------------
6.2.7 Private Key Storage on
      Cryptographic Module           6.2.6
---------------------------------------------------------
6.2.8 Method of Activating Private
      Key                            6.2.7
---------------------------------------------------------
6.2.9 Method of Deactivating
      Private Key                    6.2.8
---------------------------------------------------------
6.2.10 Method of Destroying
       Private Key                   6.2.9
---------------------------------------------------------
6.2.11 Cryptographic Module Rating   6.2.1, 6.8
---------------------------------------------------------
6.3 Other Aspects of Key Pair
    Management                       6.3
---------------------------------------------------------
6.3.1 Public Key Archival           6.3.1
---------------------------------------------------------
6.3.2 Certificate Operational
      Periods and Key Pair Usage
      Periods                       6.3.2
---------------------------------------------------------
6.4 Activation Data                 6.4
```

```
         --------------------------------------------------------
         9.1.2 Certificate Access Fees           2.5.2
         --------------------------------------------------------
         9.1.3 Revocation or Status
               Information Access Fees           2.5.3
         --------------------------------------------------------
         9.1.4 Fees for Other Services           2.5.4
         --------------------------------------------------------
         9.1.5 Refund Policy                     2.5.5
         --------------------------------------------------------
         9.2 Financial Responsibility            2.3
         --------------------------------------------------------
         9.2.1 Insurance Coverage                2.3
         --------------------------------------------------------
         9.2.2 Other Assets                      2.3
         --------------------------------------------------------
         9.2.3 Insurance or Warranty Coverage
               for End-Entities                  2.3
         --------------------------------------------------------
         9.3 Confidentiality of Business
             Information                         2.8
         --------------------------------------------------------
         9.3.1 Scope of Confidential
               Information                       2.8.1, 2.8.3
         --------------------------------------------------------
         9.3.2 Information Not Within the
               Scope of Confidential
               Information                       2.8.2, 2.8.3
         --------------------------------------------------------
         9.3.3 Responsibility to Protect
               Confidential Information          2.8,

                                                 2.8.3-2.8.7
         --------------------------------------------------------
         9.4 Privacy of Personal Information     2.8
         --------------------------------------------------------
         9.4.1 Privacy Plan                      N/A
         --------------------------------------------------------
         9.4.2 Information Treated as Private     2.8.1, 2.8.3
         --------------------------------------------------------
         9.4.3 Information Not Deemed Private     2.8.2, 2.8.3
         --------------------------------------------------------
         9.4.4 Responsibility to Protect
               Private Information               2.8, 2.8.1,
                                                 2.8.3
         --------------------------------------------------------
         9.4.5 Notice and Consent to Use
               Private Information               N/A
```

```
         --------------------------------------------------------
         9.4.6 Disclosure Pursuant to
               Judicial or Administrative
               Process                         2.8.4-2.8.5
         --------------------------------------------------------
         9.4.7 Other Information Disclosure
               Circumstances                   2.8.6-2.8.7
         --------------------------------------------------------
         9.5 Intellectual Property rights      2.9
         --------------------------------------------------------
         9.6 Representations and Warranties    2.2
         --------------------------------------------------------
         9.6.1 CA Representations and
               Warranties                      2.2.1
         --------------------------------------------------------
         9.6.2 RA Representations and
               Warranties                      2.2.2
         --------------------------------------------------------
         9.6.3 Subscriber Representations
               and Warranties                  2.1.3
         --------------------------------------------------------


         9.6.4 Relying Party Representations
               and Warranties                  2.1.4
         --------------------------------------------------------
         9.6.5 Representations and Warranties
               of Other Participants           N/A
         --------------------------------------------------------
         9.7 Disclaimers of Warranties         2.2, 2.3.2
         --------------------------------------------------------
         9.8 Limitations of Liability          2.2
         --------------------------------------------------------
         9.9 Indemnities                       2.1.3, 2.1.4,
                                               2.2, 2.3.1
         --------------------------------------------------------
         9.10 Term and Termination             N/A
         --------------------------------------------------------
         9.10.1 Term                           N/A
         --------------------------------------------------------
         9.10.2 Termination                    N/A
         --------------------------------------------------------
         9.10.3 Effect of Termination and
                Survival                       N/A
         --------------------------------------------------------
         9.11 Individual Notices and
              Communications with Participants  2.4.2
         --------------------------------------------------------
         9.12 Amendments                       8.1
```

```
            -------------------------------------------------------
 9.12.1 Procedure for Amendment            8.1
            -------------------------------------------------------
 9.12.2 Notification Mechanism
           and Period                      8.1
            -------------------------------------------------------
 9.12.3 Circumstances Under Which OID
           Must be Changed                 8.1
            -------------------------------------------------------
 9.13 Dispute Resolution Provisions        2.4.3
            -------------------------------------------------------
 9.14 Governing Law                        2.4.1
            -------------------------------------------------------
 9.15 Compliance with Applicable Law       2.4.1
            -------------------------------------------------------
 9.16 Miscellaneous Provisions             2.4
            -------------------------------------------------------
 9.16.1 Entire Agreement                   2.4.2
            -------------------------------------------------------
 9.16.2 Assignment                         N/A
            -------------------------------------------------------
 9.16.3 Severability                       2.4.2
            -------------------------------------------------------
 9.16.4 Enforcement (Attorney's Fees
           and Waiver of Rights)           2.4.3
            -------------------------------------------------------
 9.17 Other Provisions                     N/A
            -------------------------------------------------------
```

8.   Acknowledgements

   The development of the predecessor document (RFC 2527) was supported
   by the Government of Canada's Policy Management Authority (PMA)
   Committee, the National Security Agency, the National Institute of
   Standards and Technology (NIST), and the American Bar Association
   Information Security Committee Accreditation Working Group.

   This revision effort is largely a result of constant inspiration from
   Michael Baum. Michael Power, Mike Jenkins, and Alice Sturgeon have
   also made several contributions.

9. References

   [ABA1] American Bar Association, Digital Signature Guidelines: Legal
          Infrastructure for Certification Authorities and Secure
          Electronic Commerce, 1996.

   [ABA2] American Bar Association, PKI Assessment Guidelines, v0.30,
          Public Draft For Comment, June 2001.

   [BAU1] Michael. S. Baum, Federal Certification Authority Liability
          and Policy, NIST-GCR-94-654, June 1994, available at
          http://www.verisign.com/repository/pubs/index.html.

   [ETS] European Telecommunications Standards Institute, "Policy
          Requirements for Certification Authorities Issuing Qualified
          Certificates," ETSI TS 101 456, Version 1.1.1, December 2000.

   [GOC] Government of Canada PKI Policy Management Authority, "Digital
          Signature and Confidentiality Certificate Policies for the
          Government of Canada Public Key Infrastructure," v.3.02, April
          1999.

   [IDT] Identrus, LLC, "Identrus Identity Certificate Policy" IP-IPC
          Version 1.7, March 2001.

   [ISO1] ISO/IEC 9594-8/ITU-T Recommendation X.509, "Information
          Technology - Open Systems Interconnection: The Directory:
          Authentication Framework," 1997 edition. (Pending publication
          of 2000 edition, use 1997 edition.)

   [PEM1] Kent, S., "Privacy Enhancement for Internet Electronic Mail:
          Part II: Certificate-Based Key Management", RFC 1422, February
          1993.

   [PKI1] Housley, R., Polk, W. Ford, W. and D. Solo, "Internet X.509
          Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate
          Revocation List (CRL) Profile", RFC 3280, April 2002.

   [CPF] Chokhani, S. and W. Ford, "Internet X.509 Public Key
          Infrastructure, Certificate Policy and Certification Practices
          Statement Framework", RFC 2527, March 1999.

10. Notes

   1. A paper copy of the ABA Digital Signature Guidelines can be
       purchased from the ABA. See http://www.abanet.com for ordering
       details. The DSG may also be downloaded without charge from the
       ABA website at
       http://www.abanet.org/scitech/ec/isc/digital_signature.html.

   2. A draft of the PKI Assessment Guidelines may be downloaded
       without charge from the ABA website at
       http://www.abanet.org/scitech/ec/isc/pag/pag.html.

3. The term "meaningful" means that the name form has commonly
    understood semantics to determine the identity of a person and/or
    organization. Directory names and RFC 822 names may be more or
    less meaningful.

4. The subject may not need to prove to the CA that the subject has
    possession of the private key corresponding to the public key
    being registered if the CA generates the subject's key pair on
    the subject's behalf.

5. Examples of means to identify and authenticate individuals
    include biometric means (such as thumb print, ten finger print,
    and scan of the face, palm, or retina), a driver's license, a
    credit card, a company badge, and a government badge.

6. Certificate "modification" does not refer to making a change to
    an existing certificate, since this would prevent the
    verification of any digital signatures on the certificate and
    cause the certificate to be invalid. Rather, the concept of
    "modification" refers to a situation where the information
    referred to in the certificate has changed or should be changed,
    and the CA issues a new certificate containing the modified
    information. One example is a subscriber that changes his or her
    name, which would necessitate the issuance of a new certificate
    containing the new name.

7. The n out of m rule allows a private key to be split in m parts.
    The m parts may be given to m different individuals. Any n parts
    out of the m parts may be used to fully reconstitute the private
    key, but having any n-1 parts provides one with no information
    about the private key.

8. A private key may be escrowed, backed up, or archived. Each of
    these functions has a different purpose. Thus, a private key may
    go through any subset of these functions depending on the
    requirements. The purpose of escrow is to allow a third party
    (such as an organization or government) to obtain the private key
    without the cooperation of the subscriber. The purpose of back
    up is to allow the subscriber to reconstitute the key in case of
    the destruction or corruption of the key for business continuity
    purposes. The purpose of archives is to provide for reuse of the
    private key in the future, e.g., use to decrypt a document.

9. WebTrust refers to the "WebTrust Program for Certification
    Authorities," from the American Institute of Certified Public
    Accountants, Inc., and the Canadian Institute of Chartered
    Accountants.

   10. See <http://www.aicpa.org>.

   11. All or some of the following items may be different for the
       various types of entities, i.e., CA, RA, and end entities.

11. List of Acronyms

   ABA - American Bar Association
   CA - Certification Authority
   CP - Certificate Policy
   CPS - Certification Practice Statement
   CRL - Certificate Revocation List
   DAM - Draft Amendment
   FIPS - Federal Information Processing Standard
   I&A - Identification and Authentication
   IEC - International Electrotechnical Commission
   IETF - Internet Engineering Task Force
   IP - Internet Protocol
   ISO - International Organization for Standardization
   ITU - International Telecommunications Union
   NIST - National Institute of Standards and Technology
   OID - Object Identifier
   PIN - Personal Identification Number
   PKI - Public Key Infrastructure
   PKIX - Public Key Infrastructure (X.509) (IETF Working Group)
   RA - Registration Authority
   RFC - Request For Comment
   URL - Uniform Resource Locator
   US - United States

12. Authors' Addresses

   Santosh Chokhani
   Orion Security Solutions, Inc.
   3410 N. Buchanan Street
   Arlington, VA 22207

   Phone: (703) 237-4621
   Fax: (703) 237-4920
   EMail: chokhani@orionsec.com

   Warwick Ford
   VeriSign, Inc.
   6 Ellery Square
   Cambridge, MA 02138

   Phone: (617) 642-0139
   EMail: wford@verisign.com

   Randy V. Sabett, J.D., CISSP
   Cooley Godward LLP
   One Freedom Square, Reston Town Center
   11951 Freedom Drive
   Reston, VA 20190-5656

   Phone: (703) 456-8137
   Fax: (703) 456-8100
   EMail: rsabett@cooley.com

   Charles (Chas) R. Merrill
   McCarter & English, LLP
   Four Gateway Center
   100 Mulberry Street
   Newark, New Jersey 07101-0652

   Phone: (973) 622-4444
   Fax: (973) 624-7070
   EMail: cmerrill@mccarter.com

      Stephen S. Wu
      Infoliance, Inc.
      800 West El Camino Real
      Suite 180
      Mountain View, CA 94040

      Phone: (650) 917-8045
      Fax: (650) 618-1454
      EMail: swu@infoliance.com

13. Full Copyright Statement

Acknowledgement