

Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)  
Request for Comments: 8607  
Category: Informational  
ISSN: 2070-1721

C. Daboo  
Apple  
A. Quillaud  
Oracle  
K. Murchison, Ed.  
FastMail  
June 2019

## Calendaring Extensions to WebDAV (CalDAV): Managed Attachments

### Abstract

This specification adds an extension to the Calendaring Extensions to WebDAV (CalDAV) to allow attachments associated with iCalendar data to be stored and managed on the server.

This specification documents existing code deployed by multiple vendors. It is published as an Informational specification rather than Standards Track due to its noncompliance with multiple best current practices of HTTP.

### Status of This Memo

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for informational purposes.

This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Not all documents approved by the IESG are candidates for any level of Internet Standard; see Section 2 of RFC 7841.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8607>.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2019 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

- 1. Introduction . . . . . 3
  - 1.1. Rationale for Informational Status . . . . . 4
- 2. Conventions Used in This Document . . . . . 4
- 3. Overview . . . . . 4
  - 3.1. Requirements . . . . . 5
  - 3.2. Discovering Support for Managed Attachments . . . . . 5
  - 3.3. POST Request for Managing Attachments . . . . . 6
    - 3.3.1. action Query Parameter . . . . . 6
    - 3.3.2. rid Query Parameter . . . . . 6
    - 3.3.3. managed-id Query Parameter . . . . . 7
  - 3.4. Adding Attachments . . . . . 7
  - 3.5. Updating Attachments . . . . . 10
  - 3.6. Removing Attachments via POST . . . . . 13
  - 3.7. Adding Existing Managed Attachments via PUT . . . . . 15
  - 3.8. Updating Attachments via PUT . . . . . 15
  - 3.9. Removing Attachments via PUT . . . . . 15
  - 3.10. Retrieving Attachments . . . . . 15
  - 3.11. Error Handling . . . . . 16
  - 3.12. Additional Considerations . . . . . 17
    - 3.12.1. Quotas . . . . . 17
    - 3.12.2. Access Control . . . . . 17
    - 3.12.3. Redirects . . . . . 18
    - 3.12.4. Processing Time . . . . . 18
    - 3.12.5. Automatic Cleanup by Servers . . . . . 18
    - 3.12.6. Sending Scheduling Messages with Attachments . . . . . 18
    - 3.12.7. Migrating Calendar Data . . . . . 18
- 4. Modifications to iCalendar Syntax . . . . . 19
  - 4.1. SIZE Property Parameter . . . . . 19
  - 4.2. FILENAME Property Parameter . . . . . 19
  - 4.3. MANAGED-ID Property Parameter . . . . . 20
- 5. Additional Message Header Fields . . . . . 20

- 5.1. Cal-Managed-ID Response Header Field . . . . . 20
- 6. Additional WebDAV Properties . . . . . 21
  - 6.1. CALDAV:managed-attachments-server-URL Property . . . . . 21
  - 6.2. CALDAV:max-attachment-size Property . . . . . 22
  - 6.3. CALDAV:max-attachments-per-resource Property . . . . . 23
- 7. Security Considerations . . . . . 24
- 8. IANA Considerations . . . . . 24
  - 8.1. Parameter Registrations . . . . . 24
  - 8.2. Message Header Field Registrations . . . . . 25
    - 8.2.1. Cal-Managed-ID . . . . . 25
- 9. References . . . . . 25
  - 9.1. Normative References . . . . . 25
  - 9.2. Informative References . . . . . 27
- Appendix A. Example Involving Recurring Events . . . . . 28
- Acknowledgments . . . . . 34
- Authors' Addresses . . . . . 34

1. Introduction

The iCalendar [RFC5545] data format is used to represent calendar data and is used with iCalendar Transport-independent Interoperability Protocol (iTIP) [RFC5546] to handle scheduling operations between calendar users.

[RFC4791] defines the Calendaring Extensions to WebDAV (CalDAV), based on HTTP [RFC7230], for accessing calendar data stored on a server.

Calendar users often want to include attachments with their calendar data events or tasks (for example, a copy of a presentation or the meeting agenda). iCalendar provides an "ATTACH" property whose value is either the inline Base64 encoded attachment data or a URL specifying the location of the attachment data.

Use of inline attachment data is not ideal with CalDAV because the data would need to be uploaded to the server each time a change to the calendar data is made, even minor changes such as a change to the summary. Whilst a client could choose to use a URL value instead, the problem then becomes where and how the client discovers an appropriate URL to use and how it ensures that only those attendees listed in the event or task are able to access it.

This specification solves this problem by having the client send the attachment to the server, separately from the iCalendar data, and having the server add appropriate "ATTACH" properties in the iCalendar data as well as manage access privileges. The server can also provide additional information to the client about each attachment in the iCalendar data, such as the size and an identifier.

### 1.1. Rationale for Informational Status

Although this extension to CalDAV has wide deployment, its design does not comply with some of the best current practices of HTTP, namely:

- o All operations on attachments are modeled as HTTP POST operations, where the actual type of operation is specified using a query parameter instead of using separate HTTP POST, PUT, and DELETE methods where appropriate.
- o Specific query strings are hardwired into the protocol in violation of Section 2.4 of [RFC7320].

Additionally, this extension misuses the Content-Disposition header field [RFC6266] as a request header field to convey a filename for an attachment rather than using an existing request header field suitable for that purpose, such as "Slug" (see Section 9.7 of [RFC5023]).

Rather than creating interoperability problems with deployed code by updating the design of this extension to be compliant with best current practices and to allow this specification to be placed on the Standards Track, it was decided to simply document how existing implementations interoperate and to publish the document as Informational.

## 2. Conventions Used in This Document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

The notation used in this memo is the ABNF notation of [RFC5234] as used by iCalendar [RFC5545]. Any syntax elements shown below that are not explicitly defined in this specification come from iCalendar [RFC5545].

## 3. Overview

There are four main operations a client needs to perform with attachments for calendar data: add, update, remove, and retrieve. The first three operations are carried out by the client issuing an HTTP POST request on the calendar object resource to which the attachment is associated and specifying the appropriate "action" query parameter (see Section 3.3). In the case of the remove

operation, the client can alternatively directly update the calendar object resource and remove the relevant "ATTACH" properties (see Section 3.9). The retrieve operation is accomplished by simply issuing an HTTP GET request targeting the attachment URI specified by the calendar resource's "ATTACH" property (see Section 3.10).

iCalendar data stored in a CalDAV calendar object resource can contain multiple components when recurrences are involved. In such a situation, the client needs to be able to target a specific recurrence instance or multiple instances when adding or deleting attachments. As a result, the POST request needs to provide a way for the client to specify which recurrence instances should be targeted for the attachment operation. This is accomplished through use of additional query parameters on the POST Request-URI.

### 3.1. Requirements

A server that supports the features described in this specification is REQUIRED to support the CalDAV "calendar-access" [RFC4791] features.

In addition, such a server SHOULD support the "return=representation" Prefer header field [RFC7240] preference on successful HTTP PUT and POST requests targeting existing calendar object resources by returning the new representation of that calendar resource (including its new ETag header field value) in the response.

### 3.2. Discovering Support for Managed Attachments

A server supporting the features described in this specification MUST include "calendar-managed-attachments" as a token in the DAV response header field (as defined in Section 10.1 of [RFC4918]) from an OPTIONS request on a calendar home collection.

A server might choose not to support the storing of managed attachments on a per-recurrence-instance basis (i.e., they can only be added to all instances as a whole). If that is the case, the server MUST also include "calendar-managed-attachments-no-recurrence" as a token in the DAV response header field from an OPTIONS request on a calendar home collection. When that field is present, clients MUST NOT attempt any managed attachment operations that target specific recurrence instances.

### 3.3. POST Request for Managing Attachments

An HTTP POST request is used to add, update, or remove attachments. These requests are subject to the preconditions listed in Section 3.11. The Request-URI will contain various query parameters to specify the behavior.

#### 3.3.1. action Query Parameter

The "action" query parameter is used to identify which attachment operation the client is requesting. This parameter **MUST** be present once on each POST request used to manage attachments. One of these three values **MUST** be used:

attachment-add: Indicates an operation that is adding an attachment to a calendar object resource. See Section 3.4 for more details.

attachment-update: Indicates an operation that is updating an existing attachment on a calendar object resource. See Section 3.5 for more details.

attachment-remove: Indicates an operation that is removing an attachment from a calendar object resource. See Section 3.6 for more details.

Example:

```
https://calendar.example.com/events/1.ics?action=attachment-add
```

#### 3.3.2. rid Query Parameter

The "rid" query parameter is used to identify which recurrence instances are being targeted by the client for the attachment operation. This query parameter **MUST** contain one or more items, separated by commas (denoted in ASCII as "0x2C"). The item values can be in one of two forms:

Master instance: The value "M" (case insensitive) refers to the "master" recurrence instance, i.e., the component that does not include a "RECURRENCE-ID" property. This item **MUST** be present only once.

Specific instance: A specific iCalendar instance is targeted by using its "RECURRENCE-ID" value as the item value. That value **MUST** correspond to the "RECURRENCE-ID" value as stored in the calendar object resource (i.e., without any conversion to UTC). If multiple items of this form are used, they **MUST** be unique values. For example, to target a recurrence defined by property

RECURRENCE-ID;TZID=America/Montreal:20111022T160000, the query parameter rid=20111022T160000 would be used.

If the "rid" query parameter is not present, all recurrence instances in the calendar object resource are targeted.

The "rid" query parameter MUST NOT be present in the case of an update operation, or if the server chooses not to support per-recurrence instance managed attachments (see Section 3.2).

Example (targeting the master instance and a specific overridden instance):

```
https://calendar.example.com/events/1.ics?  
action=attachment-add&rid=M,20111022T160000
```

### 3.3.3. managed-id Query Parameter

The "managed-id" query parameter is used to identify which "ATTACH" property is being updated or removed. The value of this query parameter MUST match the "MANAGED-ID" (Section 4.3) property parameter value on the "ATTACH" property in the calendar object resource instance(s) targeted by the request.

The "managed-id" query parameter MUST NOT be present in the case of an add operation.

Example:

```
https://calendar.example.com/events/1.ics?  
action=attachment-update&managed-id=aUNhbGVuZGFy
```

### 3.4. Adding Attachments

To add an attachment to an existing calendar object resource, the following needs to occur:

1. The client issues a POST request targeted at the calendar object resource structured as follows:
  - A. The Request-URI will include an "action" query parameter with the value "attachment-add" (see Section 3.3.1).
  - B. If all recurrence instances are having an attachment added, the "rid" query parameter is not present in the Request-URI. If one or more specific recurrence instances are targeted, then the Request-URI will include a "rid" query parameter containing the list of instances (see Section 3.3.2).

- C. The body of the request contains the data for the attachment.
  - D. The client **MUST** include a valid Content-Type header field describing the media type of the attachment (as required by HTTP).
  - E. The client **SHOULD** include a Content-Disposition header field [RFC6266] with a "type" parameter set to "attachment", and a "filename" parameter that indicates the name of the attachment. Note that the use of Content-Disposition as a request header field is nonstandard and specific to this protocol.
  - F. The client **MAY** include a Prefer header field [RFC7240] with the "return=representation" preference to request that the modified calendar object resource be returned as the body of a successful response to the POST request.
2. When the server receives the POST request, it does the following:
- A. Validates that any recurrence instances referred to via the "rid" query parameter are valid for the calendar object resource being targeted.
  - B. Stores the supplied attachment data into a resource and generates an appropriate URI for clients to access the resource.
  - C. For each affected recurrence instance in the calendar object resource targeted by the request, adds an "ATTACH" property whose value is the URI of the stored attachment. The "ATTACH" property **MUST** contain a "MANAGED-ID" property parameter whose value is a unique identifier (within the context of the server as a whole). The "ATTACH" property **SHOULD** contain an "FMCTYPE" property parameter whose value matches the Content-Type header field value from the request. The "ATTACH" property **SHOULD** contain a "FILENAME" property parameter whose value matches the value of the Content-Disposition header field "filename" parameter value from the request, taking into account the restrictions expressed in Section 4.2. The "ATTACH" property **SHOULD** include a "SIZE" property parameter whose value represents the size in octets of the attachment. If a specified recurrence instance does not have a matching component in the calendar object resource, then the server **MUST** modify the calendar object resource to include an overridden component with the appropriate "RECURRENCE-ID" property.



- D. Upon successful creation of the attachment resource, and modification of the targeted calendar object resource, it MUST return an appropriate HTTP success status response and include a "Cal-Managed-ID" header field containing the "MANAGED-ID" property parameter value of the newly created "ATTACH" property. The client can use the "Cal-Managed-ID" header field value to correlate the attachment with "ATTACH" properties added to the calendar object resource. If the client included a Prefer header field with the "return=representation" preference in the request, the server SHOULD return the modified calendar object resource as the body of the response. Otherwise, the server can expect that the client will reload the calendar object resource with a subsequent GET request to refresh any local cache.

In the following example, the client adds a new attachment to a nonrecurring event and asks the server (via the Prefer header field [RFC7240]) to return the modified version of that event in the response.

>> Request <<

```
POST /events/64.ics?action=attachment-add HTTP/1.1
Host: cal.example.com
Content-Type: text/html; charset="utf-8"
Content-Disposition: attachment; filename=agenda.html
Content-Length: 59
Prefer: return=representation
```

```
<html>
  <body>
    <h1>Agenda</h1>
  </body>
</html>
```

>> Response <<

```
HTTP/1.1 201 Created
Content-Type: text/calendar; charset="utf-8"
Content-Length: 371
Content-Location: https://cal.example.com/events/64.ics
ETag: "123456789-000-111"
Cal-Managed-ID: 97S

BEGIN:VCALENDAR
VERSION:2.0
PRODID:-//Example Corp.//CalDAV Server//EN
BEGIN:VEVENT
UID:20010712T182145Z-123401@example.com
DTSTAMP:20120201T203412Z
DTSTART:20120714T170000Z
DTEND:20120715T040000Z
SUMMARY:One-off meeting
ATTACH;MANAGED-ID=97S;FMTTYPE=text/html;SIZE=59;
  FILENAME=agenda.html:https://cal.example.com/attach/64/34X22R
END:VEVENT
END:VCALENDAR
```

### 3.5. Updating Attachments

When an attachment is updated, the server MUST change the associated "MANAGED-ID" property parameter and MAY change the "ATTACH" property value. With this approach, clients are able to determine when an attachment has been updated by some other client by looking for a change to either the "ATTACH" property value or the "MANAGED-ID" property parameter value.

To change the data of an existing managed attachment in a calendar object resource, the following needs to occur:

1. The client issues a POST request targeted at the calendar object resource structured as follows:
  - A. The Request-URI will include an "action" query parameter with the value "attachment-update" (see Section 3.3.1).
  - B. The Request-URI will include a "managed-id" query parameter with the value matching that of the "MANAGED-ID" property parameter for the "ATTACH" property being updated (see Section 3.3.3).
  - C. The body of the request contains the updated data for the attachment.

- D. The client **MUST** include a valid Content-Type header field describing the media type of the attachment (as required by HTTP).
  - E. The client **SHOULD** include a Content-Disposition header field [RFC6266] with a "type" parameter set to "attachment", and a "filename" parameter that indicates the name of the attachment.
  - F. The client **MAY** include a Prefer header field [RFC7240] with the "return=representation" preference to request that the modified calendar object resource be returned as the body of a successful response to the POST request.
2. When the server receives the POST request, it does the following:
- A. Validates that the "managed-id" query parameter is valid for the calendar object resource.
  - B. Updates the content of the attachment resource corresponding to that "managed-id" value with the supplied attachment data.
  - C. For each affected recurrence instance in the calendar object resource targeted by the request, updates the "ATTACH" property whose "MANAGED-ID" property parameter value matches the "managed-id" query parameter. The "MANAGED-ID" property parameter value is changed to allow other clients to detect the update, and the property value (attachment URI) might also be changed. The "ATTACH" property **SHOULD** contain a "FMTTYPER" property parameter whose value matches the Content-Type header field value from the request; this could differ from the original value if the media type of the updated attachment is different. The "ATTACH" property **SHOULD** contain a "FILENAME" property parameter whose value matches the Content-Disposition header field "filename" parameter value from the request, taking into account the restrictions expressed in Section 4.2. The "ATTACH" property **SHOULD** include a "SIZE" property parameter whose value represents the size in octets of the updated attachment.
  - D. Upon successful update of the attachment resource, and modification of the targeted calendar object resource, it **MUST** return an appropriate HTTP success status response and include a "Cal-Managed-ID" header field containing the new value of the "MANAGED-ID" property parameter. The client can use the "Cal-Managed-ID" header field value to correlate the attachment with "ATTACH" properties added to the calendar

object resource. If the client included a Prefer header field with the "return=representation" preference in the request, the server SHOULD return the modified calendar object resource as the body of the response. Otherwise, the server can expect that the client will reload the calendar object resource with a subsequent GET request to refresh any local cache.

The update operation does not take a "rid" query parameter and does not add, or remove, any "ATTACH" property in the targeted calendar object resource. To link an existing attachment to a new instance, the client simply does a PUT on the calendar object resource, adding an "ATTACH" property that duplicates the existing one (see Section 3.7).

In the following example, the client updates an existing attachment and asks the server (via the Prefer header field [RFC7240]) to return the updated version of that event in the response.

>> Request <<

```
POST /events/64.ics?action=attachment-update&managed-id=97S HTTP/1.1
Host: cal.example.com
Content-Type: text/html; charset="utf-8"
Content-Disposition: attachment; filename=agenda.html
Content-Length: 96
Prefer: return=representation
```

```
<html>
  <body>
    <h1>Agenda</h1>
    <p>Discuss attachment draft</p>
  </body>
</html>
```

>> Response <<

```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Content-Type: text/calendar; charset="utf-8"
Content-Length: 371
Content-Location: https://cal.example.com/events/64.ics
Cal-Managed-ID: 98S
ETag: "123456789-000-222"

BEGIN:VCALENDAR
VERSION:2.0
PRODID:-//Example Corp.//CalDAV Server//EN
BEGIN:VEVENT
UID:20010712T182145Z-123401@example.com
DTSTAMP:20120201T203412Z
DTSTART:20120714T170000Z
DTEND:20120715T040000Z
SUMMARY:One-off meeting
ATTACH;MANAGED-ID=98S;FMTTYPE=text/html;SIZE=96;
  FILENAME=agenda.html:https://cal.example.com/attach/64/34X22R
END:VEVENT
END:VCALENDAR
```

### 3.6. Removing Attachments via POST

To remove an existing attachment from a calendar object, the following needs to occur:

1. The client issues a POST request targeted at the calendar object resource structured as follows:
  - A. The Request-URI will include an "action" query parameter with the value "attachment-remove" (see Section 3.3.1).
  - B. If all recurrence instances are having an attachment removed, the "rid" query parameter is not present in the Request-URI. If one or more specific recurrence instances are targeted, then the Request-URI will include a "rid" query parameter containing the list of instances (see Section 3.3.2).
  - C. The Request-URI will include a "managed-id" query parameter with the value matching that of the "MANAGED-ID" property parameter for the "ATTACH" property being removed (see Section 3.3.3).
  - D. The body of the request will be empty.

- E. The client MAY include a Prefer header field [RFC7240] with the "return=representation" preference to request that the modified calendar object resource be returned as the body of a successful response to the POST request.
2. When the server receives the POST request, it does the following:
    - A. Validates that any recurrence instances referred to via the "rid" query parameter are valid for the calendar object resource being targeted.
    - B. Validates that the "managed-id" query parameter is valid for the calendar object resource and specific instances being targeted.
    - C. For each affected recurrence instance in the calendar object resource targeted by the request, removes the matching "ATTACH" property. Note that if a specified recurrence instance does not have a matching component in the calendar object resource, then the server MUST modify the calendar object resource to include an overridden component with the appropriate "RECURRENCE-ID" property and the matching "ATTACH" property removed. This latter case is actually valid only if the master component does include the referenced "ATTACH" property.
    - D. If the attachment resource is no longer referenced by any instance of the calendar object resource, it can delete the attachment resource to free up storage space.
    - E. Upon successful removal of the attachment resource and modification of the targeted calendar object resource, it MUST return an appropriate HTTP success status response. If the client included a Prefer header field with the "return=representation" preference in the request, the server SHOULD return the modified calendar object resource as the body of the response. Otherwise, the server can expect that the client will reload the calendar object resource with a subsequent GET request to refresh any local cache.

In the following example, the client deletes an existing attachment by passing its "managed-id" value in the request. The Prefer header field [RFC7240] is not set in the request so the calendar object resource data is not returned in the response.

>> Request <<

```
POST /events/64.ics?action=attachment-remove&managed-id=98S HTTP/1.1
Host: cal.example.com
Content-Length: 0
```

>> Response <<

```
HTTP/1.1 204 No Content
Content-Length: 0
```

### 3.7. Adding Existing Managed Attachments via PUT

Clients can make use of existing managed attachments by adding the corresponding "ATTACH" property to calendar object resources (subject to the restrictions described in Section 3.12.2).

If a managed attachment is used in more than calendar resource, servers SHOULD NOT change either the "MANAGED-ID" property parameter value or the "ATTACH" property value for these attachments; this ensures that clients do not have to download the attachment data again if they already have it cached. Additionally, servers SHOULD validate "SIZE" property parameter values and replace incorrect values with the actual sizes of existing attachments.

These PUT requests are subject to the preconditions listed in Section 3.11.

### 3.8. Updating Attachments via PUT

Servers MUST NOT allow clients to update attachment data directly via a PUT on the attachment URI (or via any other HTTP method that modifies content). Instead, attachments can only be updated via use of POST requests on the calendar data.

### 3.9. Removing Attachments via PUT

Clients can remove attachments by simply rewriting the calendar object resource data to remove the appropriate "ATTACH" properties. Servers MUST NOT allow clients to delete attachments directly via a DELETE request on the attachment URI.

### 3.10. Retrieving Attachments

Clients retrieve attachments by issuing an HTTP GET request using the value of the corresponding "ATTACH" property as the Request-URI, taking into account the substitution mechanism associated with the "CALDAV:managed-attachments-server-URL" property (see Section 6.1).

### 3.11. Error Handling

This specification creates additional preconditions for the POST method.

The new preconditions are:

(CALDAV:max-attachment-size): The attachment submitted in the POST request MUST have an octet size less than or equal to the value of the "CALDAV:max-attachment-size" property value (Section 6.2) on the calendar collection of the target calendar resource.

(CALDAV:max-attachments-per-resource): The addition of the attachment submitted in the POST request MUST result in the target calendar resource having a number of managed attachments less than or equal to the value of the "CALDAV:max-attachments-per-resource" property value (Section 6.3) on the calendar collection of the target calendar resource.

(CALDAV:valid-action): The "action" query parameter in the POST request MUST contain only one of the following three values: "attachment-add", "attachment-update", or "attachment-remove".

(CALDAV:valid-rid): The "rid" query parameter in the POST request MUST NOT be present with an "action=attachment-update" query parameter and MUST contain the value "M" and/or values corresponding to "RECURRENCE-ID" property values in the iCalendar data targeted by the request.

(CALDAV:valid-managed-id): The "managed-id" query parameter in the POST request MUST NOT be present with an "action=attachment-add" query parameter and MUST contain a value corresponding to a "MANAGED-ID" property parameter value in the iCalendar data targeted by the request.

A POST request to add, modify, or delete a managed attachment results in an implicit modification of the targeted calendar resource (equivalent of a PUT). As a consequence, clients should also be prepared to handle preconditions associated with this implicit PUT. This includes (but is not limited to):

(CALDAV:max-resource-size) (from Section 5.3.2.1 of [RFC4791])

(DAV:quota-not-exceeded) (from Section 6 of [RFC4331])

(DAV:sufficient-disk-space) (from Section 6 of [RFC4331])



A PUT request to add or modify an existing calendar object resource can make reference to an existing managed attachment. The following new precondition is defined:

(CALDAV:valid-managed-id-parameter): a "MANAGED-ID" property parameter value in the iCalendar data in the PUT request is not valid (e.g., does not match any existing managed attachment).

If a precondition for a request is not satisfied:

1. The response status of the request MUST either be 403 (Forbidden) if the request should not be repeated because it will always fail, or 409 (Conflict) if it is expected that the user might be able to resolve the conflict and resubmit the request.
2. The appropriate XML element MUST be returned as the child of a top-level DAV:error element in the response body.

### 3.12. Additional Considerations

#### 3.12.1. Quotas

The WebDAV Quotas specification [RFC4331] defines two live WebDAV properties (DAV:quota-available-bytes and DAV:quota-used-bytes) to communicate storage quota information to clients. Server implementations MAY choose to include managed attachment sizes when calculating the amount of storage used by a particular resource.

#### 3.12.2. Access Control

Access to the managed attachments referenced in a calendar object resource SHOULD be restricted to only those calendar users who have access to that calendar object either directly or indirectly (via being an attendee who would receive a scheduling message).

When accessing a managed attachment, clients SHOULD be prepared to authenticate with the server storing the attachment resource. The credentials required to access the managed attachment store could be different from the ones used to access the CalDAV server.

This specification only allows organizers of scheduled events to add managed attachments. Servers MUST prevent attendees of scheduled events from adding, updating, or removing managed attachments. In addition, the server MUST prevent a calendar user from reusing a managed attachment (based on its "managed-id" value), unless that user is the one who originally created the managed attachment.

### 3.12.3. Redirects

For POST requests that add or update attachment data, the server MAY issue a 307 (Temporary Redirect) [RFC7231] or 308 (Permanent Redirect) [RFC7538] response to require the client to reissue the POST request using a different Request-URI. As a result, clients SHOULD use the "100-continue" expectation defined in Section 5.1.1 of [RFC7231]. Using this mechanism ensures that, if a redirect does occur, the client does not needlessly send the attachment data.

### 3.12.4. Processing Time

Clients can expect servers to take a while to respond to POST requests that include large attachment bodies. Servers SHOULD use the 102 (Processing) interim response defined in Section 10.1 of [RFC2518] to keep the client connection alive if the POST request will take significant time to complete.

### 3.12.5. Automatic Cleanup by Servers

Servers MAY automatically remove attachment data, for example, to regain the storage taken by unused attachments or as the result of a virus scanning. When doing so, they SHOULD NOT modify calendar data referencing those attachments. Instead, they SHOULD respond with 410 (Gone) to any request on the removed attachment URI.

### 3.12.6. Sending Scheduling Messages with Attachments

When a managed attachment is added, updated, or removed from a calendar object resource, the server MUST ensure that a scheduling message is sent to update any attendees with the changes, as per [RFC6638].

### 3.12.7. Migrating Calendar Data

When exporting calendar data from a CalDAV server supporting managed attachments, clients SHOULD remove all "MANAGED-ID" property parameters from "ATTACH" properties in the calendar data. Similarly, when importing calendar data from another source, clients SHOULD remove any "MANAGED-ID" property parameters on "ATTACH" properties (failure to do so will likely result in the server removing those properties automatically).

## 4. Modifications to iCalendar Syntax

### 4.1. SIZE Property Parameter

Parameter Name: SIZE

Purpose: To specify the size of an attachment.

Format Definition: This property parameter is defined by the following notation:

```
sizeparam = "SIZE" "=" paramtext  
; positive integers
```

Description: This property parameter MAY be specified on "ATTACH" properties. It indicates the size in octets of the corresponding attachment data. Since iCalendar integer values are restricted to a maximum value of 2147483647, the current property parameter is defined as text to allow an extended range to be used.

Example:

```
ATTACH;SIZE=1234:https://attachments.example.com/abcd.txt
```

### 4.2. FILENAME Property Parameter

Parameter Name: FILENAME

Purpose: To specify the filename of a managed attachment.

Format Definition: This property parameter is defined by the following notation:

```
filenameparam = "FILENAME" "=" paramtext
```

Description: This property parameter MAY be specified on "ATTACH" properties corresponding to managed attachments. Its value provides information on how to construct a filename for storing the attachment data. This parameter is very similar in nature to the Content-Disposition header field "filename" parameter and exposes the same security risks. As a consequence, clients MUST follow the guidelines expressed in Section 4.3 of [RFC6266] when consuming this property parameter value. Similarly, servers MUST follow those same guidelines before storing a value.

Example:

```
ATTACH;FILENAME=agenda.html:  
https://attachments.example.com/rt452S
```

#### 4.3. MANAGED-ID Property Parameter

Parameter Name: MANAGED-ID

Purpose: To uniquely identify a managed attachment.

Format Definition: This property parameter is defined by the following notation:

```
managedidparam = "MANAGED-ID" "=" paramtext
```

Description: This property parameter MUST be specified on "ATTACH" properties corresponding to managed attachments. Its value is generated by the server and uniquely identifies a managed attachment within the scope of the CalDAV server. This property parameter MUST NOT be present in the case of unmanaged attachments.

Example:

```
ATTACH;MANAGED-ID=aUNhbGVuZGFy:  
https://attachments.example.com/abcd.txt
```

### 5. Additional Message Header Fields

#### 5.1. Cal-Managed-ID Response Header Field

The Cal-Managed-ID response header field provides the value of the "MANAGED-ID" property parameter corresponding to a newly added "ATTACH" property.

ABNF:

```
Cal-Managed-ID = "Cal-Managed-ID" ":" paramtext  
; "paramtext" is defined in Section 3.1 of [RFC5545]
```

Example:

```
Cal-Managed-ID:aUNhbGVuZGFy
```

The Cal-Managed-ID header field MUST only be sent by an origin server in response to a successful POST request with an "action" query parameter set to "attachment-add" or "attachment-update". It MUST only appear once in a response and MUST NOT appear in trailers.

The Cal-Managed-ID header field is end to end and MUST be forwarded by intermediaries. Intermediaries MUST NOT insert, delete, or modify a Cal-Managed-ID header field.

## 6. Additional WebDAV Properties

### 6.1. CALDAV:managed-attachments-server-URL Property

Name: managed-attachments-server-URL

Namespace: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:caldav

Purpose: This property specifies the server base URI to use when retrieving managed attachments.

Protected: This property MUST be protected as only the server can update the value.

COPY/MOVE behavior: This property is only defined on a calendar home collection, which cannot be moved or copied.

allprop behavior: This property SHOULD NOT be returned by a PROPFIND DAV:allprop request.

Description: This property MAY be defined on a calendar home collection. If present, it contains either a single DAV:href XML element or none at all.

When one DAV:href element is present, its value MUST be an absolute HTTP URI containing only the scheme (i.e., "https") and authority (i.e., host and port) parts. Whenever a managed attachment is to be retrieved via an HTTP GET, the client MUST construct the actual URL of the attachment by substituting the scheme and authority parts of the attachment URI (as stored in the iCalendar "ATTACH" property) with the present WebDAV property value.

When no DAV:href element is present, the client MUST substitute the scheme and authority parts of the attachment URI with the scheme and authority part of the calendar home collection absolute URI.

In the absence of this property, the client can consider the attachment URI as its actual URL.

Definition:

```
<!ELEMENT managed-attachments-server-URL (DAV:href?)>
```

Example:

```
<C:managed-attachments-server-URL xmlns:D="DAV:"  
  xmlns:C="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:caldav">  
  <D:href>https://attachstore.example.com</D:href>  
</C:managed-attachments-server-URL>
```

## 6.2. CALDAV:max-attachment-size Property

Name: max-attachment-size

Namespace: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:caldav

Purpose: This property provides a numeric value indicating the maximum attachment size, in octets, that the server is willing to accept when a managed attachment is stored on the server.

Protected: This property MUST be protected as it indicates limits provided by the server.

COPY/MOVE behavior: This property value MUST be preserved in COPY and MOVE operations.

allprop behavior: This property SHOULD NOT be returned by a PROPFIND DAV:allprop request.

Description: The "CALDAV:max-attachment-size" property is used to specify a numeric value that represents the maximum attachment size, in octets, that the server is willing to accept when a managed attachment is stored on the server. The property is defined on the parent collection of the calendar object resource to which the attachment is associated. Any attempt to store a managed attachment exceeding this size MUST result in an error, with the CALDAV:max-attachment-size precondition (Section 3.11) being violated. In the absence of this property, the client can assume that the server will allow storing an attachment of any reasonable size.

Definition:

```
<!ELEMENT max-attachment-size (#PCDATA)>
<!-- PCDATA value: a numeric value (positive decimal integer) -->
```

Example:

```
<C:max-attachment-size xmlns:C="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:caldav"
  >102400000</C:max-attachment-size>
```

### 6.3. CALDAV:max-attachments-per-resource Property

Name: max-attachments-per-resource

Namespace: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:caldav

Purpose: This property provides a numeric value indicating the maximum number of managed attachments across all instances of a calendar object resource stored in a calendar collection.

Protected: This property MUST be protected as it indicates limits provided by the server.

COPY/MOVE behavior: This property value MUST be preserved in COPY and MOVE operations.

allprop behavior: This property SHOULD NOT be returned by a PROPFIND DAV:allprop request.

Description: The "CALDAV:max-attachments-per-resource" property is used to specify a numeric value that represents the maximum number of managed attachments across all instances of a calendar object resource stored in a calendar collection. Unmanaged attachments are not counted toward that limit. The property is defined on the parent collection of the calendar object resource to which the attachment is associated. Any attempt to add a managed attachment that would cause the calendar resource to exceed this limit MUST result in an error, with the CALDAV:max-attachments-per-resource precondition (Section 3.11) being violated. In the absence of this property, the client can assume that the server can handle any number of managed attachments per calendar resource.

Definition:

```
<!ELEMENT max-attachments-per-resource (#PCDATA)>
<!-- PCDATA value: a numeric value (positive decimal integer) -->
```

Example:

```
<C:max-attachments-per-resource
  xmlns:C="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:caldav"
  >12</C:max-attachments-per-resource>
```

### 7. Security Considerations

The security considerations in [RFC4791] and [RFC4918] apply to this extension. Additionally, servers need to be aware that a client could attack underlying storage by POSTing extremely large attachments and could attack processing time by uploading a recurring event with a large number of overrides and then repeatedly adding, updating, and deleting attachments.

Malicious content could be introduced into the calendar server by way of a managed attachment, and propagated to many end users via scheduling. Servers SHOULD check managed attachments for malicious or inappropriate content. Upon detecting such content, servers SHOULD remove the attachment following the rules described in Section 3.12.5.

### 8. IANA Considerations

#### 8.1. Parameter Registrations

This specification defines the following new iCalendar property parameters to be added to the "Parameters" registry defined in Section 8.2.4 of [RFC5545]:

Parameter	Status	Reference
SIZE	Current	RFC 8607, Section 4.1
FILENAME	Current	RFC 8607, Section 4.2
MANAGED-ID	Current	RFC 8607, Section 4.3



## 8.2. Message Header Field Registrations

The message header fields below should be added to the "Permanent Message Header Field Names" registry (see [RFC3864]).

### 8.2.1. Cal-Managed-ID

Header field name: Cal-Managed-ID

Protocol: http

Status: standard

Author/Change controller: IETF

Reference: this specification (Section 5.1)

Related information: none

## 9. References

### 9.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC2518] Goland, Y., Whitehead, E., Faizi, A., Carter, S., and D. Jensen, "HTTP Extensions for Distributed Authoring -- WEBDAV", RFC 2518, DOI 10.17487/RFC2518, February 1999, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2518>>.
- [RFC3864] Klyne, G., Nottingham, M., and J. Mogul, "Registration Procedures for Message Header Fields", BCP 90, RFC 3864, DOI 10.17487/RFC3864, September 2004, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3864>>.
- [RFC4331] Korver, B. and L. Dusseault, "Quota and Size Properties for Distributed Authoring and Versioning (DAV) Collections", RFC 4331, DOI 10.17487/RFC4331, February 2006, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4331>>.
- [RFC4791] Daboo, C., Desruisseaux, B., and L. Dusseault, "Calendaring Extensions to WebDAV (CalDAV)", RFC 4791, DOI 10.17487/RFC4791, March 2007, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4791>>.

- [RFC4918] Dusseault, L., Ed., "HTTP Extensions for Web Distributed Authoring and Versioning (WebDAV)", RFC 4918, DOI 10.17487/RFC4918, June 2007, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4918>>.
- [RFC5234] Crocker, D., Ed. and P. Overell, "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", STD 68, RFC 5234, DOI 10.17487/RFC5234, January 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5234>>.
- [RFC5545] Desruisseaux, B., Ed., "Internet Calendaring and Scheduling Core Object Specification (iCalendar)", RFC 5545, DOI 10.17487/RFC5545, September 2009, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5545>>.
- [RFC6266] Reschke, J., "Use of the Content-Disposition Header Field in the Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP)", RFC 6266, DOI 10.17487/RFC6266, June 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6266>>.
- [RFC6638] Daboo, C. and B. Desruisseaux, "Scheduling Extensions to CalDAV", RFC 6638, DOI 10.17487/RFC6638, June 2012, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6638>>.
- [RFC7230] Fielding, R., Ed. and J. Reschke, Ed., "Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Message Syntax and Routing", RFC 7230, DOI 10.17487/RFC7230, June 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7230>>.
- [RFC7231] Fielding, R., Ed. and J. Reschke, Ed., "Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Semantics and Content", RFC 7231, DOI 10.17487/RFC7231, June 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7231>>.
- [RFC7240] Snell, J., "Prefer Header for HTTP", RFC 7240, DOI 10.17487/RFC7240, June 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7240>>.
- [RFC7538] Reschke, J., "The Hypertext Transfer Protocol Status Code 308 (Permanent Redirect)", RFC 7538, DOI 10.17487/RFC7538, April 2015, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7538>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.

## 9.2. Informative References

- [RFC5023] Gregorio, J., Ed. and B. de hOra, Ed., "The Atom Publishing Protocol", RFC 5023, DOI 10.17487/RFC5023, October 2007, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5023>>.
- [RFC5546] Daboo, C., Ed., "iCalendar Transport-Independent Interoperability Protocol (iTIP)", RFC 5546, DOI 10.17487/RFC5546, December 2009, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5546>>.
- [RFC7320] Nottingham, M., "URI Design and Ownership", BCP 190, RFC 7320, DOI 10.17487/RFC7320, July 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7320>>.
- [RFC8144] Murchison, K., "Use of the Prefer Header Field in Web Distributed Authoring and Versioning (WebDAV)", RFC 8144, DOI 10.17487/RFC8144, April 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8144>>.

## Appendix A. Example Involving Recurring Events

In the following example, the organizer of a recurring meeting makes an unsuccessful attempt to add an agenda (HTML attachment) to the corresponding calendar resource with a conditional request. Note that the client includes both the Expect and Prefer header fields in the request, thereby preventing itself from needlessly sending the attachment data and requesting that the current resource be returned in the failure response (see Section 3.2 of [RFC8144]).

>> Request <<

```
POST /events/65.ics?action=attachment-add HTTP/1.1
Host: cal.example.com
Content-Type: text/html; charset="utf-8"
Content-Disposition: attachment;filename=agenda.html
Content-Length: 80
If-Match: "abcdefg-000"
Expect: 100-continue
Prefer: return=representation
```

>> Final Response <<

HTTP/1.1 412 Precondition Failed  
Content-Type: text/calendar; charset="utf-8"  
Content-Length: 929  
Content-Location: https://cal.example.com/events/65.ics  
ETag: "123456789-000-000"

```
BEGIN:VCALENDAR
VERSION:2.0
PRODID:-//Example Corp.//CalDAV Server//EN
BEGIN:VTIMEZONE
LAST-MODIFIED:20040110T032845Z
TZID:America/Montreal
BEGIN:DAYLIGHT
DTSTART:20000404T020000
RRULE:FREQ=YEARLY;BYDAY=1SU;BYMONTH=4
TZNAME:EDT
TZOFFSETFROM:-0500
TZOFFSETTO:-0400
END:DAYLIGHT
BEGIN:STANDARD
DTSTART:20001026T020000
RRULE:FREQ=YEARLY;BYDAY=-1SU;BYMONTH=10
TZNAME:EST
TZOFFSETFROM:-0400
TZOFFSETTO:-0500
END:STANDARD
END:VTIMEZONE
BEGIN:VEVENT
UID:20010712T182145Z-123401@example.com
DTSTAMP:20120201T203412Z
DTSTART;TZID=America/Montreal:20120206T100000
DURATION:PT1H
RRULE:FREQ=WEEKLY
SUMMARY:Planning Meeting
ORGANIZER:mailto:cyrus@example.com
ATTENDEE;CUTYPE=INDIVIDUAL;PARTSTAT=ACCEPTED:mailto:cyrus@example.com
ATTENDEE;CUTYPE=INDIVIDUAL;PARTSTAT=ACCEPTED:mailto:arnaudq@example.com
ATTENDEE;CUTYPE=INDIVIDUAL;PARTSTAT=NEEDS-ACTION:mailto:mike@example.com
END:VEVENT
END:VCALENDAR
```

The organizer of a recurring meeting successfully adds an agenda (HTML attachment) to the corresponding calendar resource. Attendees of the meeting are granted read access to the newly created attachment resource. Their own copy of the meeting is updated to include the new "ATTACH" property pointing to the attachment resource, and they are notified of the change via their scheduling inbox.

>> Request <<

```
POST /events/65.ics?action=attachment-add HTTP/1.1
Host: cal.example.com
Content-Type: text/html; charset="utf-8"
Content-Disposition: attachment;filename=agenda.html
Content-Length: 80
If-Match: "123456789-000-000"
Expect: 100-continue
Prefer: return=representation
```

>> Interim Response <<

```
HTTP/1.1 100 Continue
```

>> Request Body <<

```
<html>
  <body>
    <h1>Agenda</h1>
    <p>As usual</p>
  </body>
</html>
```

>> Final Response <<

HTTP/1.1 201 Created  
Content-Type: text/calendar; charset="utf-8"  
Content-Length: 1043  
Content-Location: https://cal.example.com/events/65.ics  
ETag: "123456789-000-111"  
Cal-Managed-ID: 97S

```
BEGIN:VCALENDAR
VERSION:2.0
PRODID:-//Example Corp.//CalDAV Server//EN
BEGIN:VTIMEZONE
LAST-MODIFIED:20040110T032845Z
TZID:America/Montreal
BEGIN:DAYLIGHT
DTSTART:20000404T020000
RRULE:FREQ=YEARLY;BYDAY=1SU;BYMONTH=4
TZNAME:EDT
TZOFFSETFROM:-0500
TZOFFSETTO:-0400
END:DAYLIGHT
BEGIN:STANDARD
DTSTART:20001026T020000
RRULE:FREQ=YEARLY;BYDAY=-1SU;BYMONTH=10
TZNAME:EST
TZOFFSETFROM:-0400
TZOFFSETTO:-0500
END:STANDARD
END:VTIMEZONE
BEGIN:VEVENT
UID:20010712T182145Z-123401@example.com
DTSTAMP:20120201T203412Z
DTSTART;TZID=America/Montreal:20120206T100000
DURATION:PT1H
RRULE:FREQ=WEEKLY
SUMMARY:Planning Meeting
ORGANIZER:mailto:cyrus@example.com
ATTENDEE;CUTYPE=INDIVIDUAL;PARTSTAT=ACCEPTED:mailto:cyrus@exampl
e.com
ATTENDEE;CUTYPE=INDIVIDUAL;PARTSTAT=ACCEPTED:mailto:arnaudq@exam
ple.com
ATTENDEE;CUTYPE=INDIVIDUAL;PARTSTAT=NEEDS-ACTION:mailto:mike@exa
mple.com
ATTACH;MANAGED-ID=97S;FMPTYPE=text/html;SIZE=80;
FILENAME=agenda.html:https://cal.example.com/attach/65/34X22R
END:VEVENT
END:VCALENDAR
```

The organizer has a more specific agenda for the 20th of February meeting. It is added to that particular instance of the meeting by specifying the "rid" query parameter. Note that an overridden instance is created with the "RECURRENCE-ID" property value matching the value of the "rid" query parameter in the request. Also, note that the server takes significant time to complete the request and notifies the client accordingly.

>> Request <<

```
POST /events/65.ics?action=attachment-add&rid=20120220T100000 HTTP/1.1
Host: cal.example.com
Content-Type: text/html; charset="utf-8"
Content-Disposition: attachment;filename=agenda0220.html
Content-Length: 105
If-Match: "123456789-000-111"
Expect: 100-continue
Prefer: return=representation
```

>> Interim Response <<

```
HTTP/1.1 100 Continue
```

>> Request Body <<

```
<html>
  <body>
    <h1>Agenda</h1>
    <p>Something different, for a change</p>
  </body>
</html>
```

>> Interim Response <<

```
HTTP/1.1 102 Processing
```

>> Final Response <<

```
HTTP/1.1 201 Created
Content-Type: text/calendar; charset="utf-8"
Content-Length: 1661
Content-Location: https://cal.example.com/events/65.ics
ETag: "123456789-000-222"
Cal-Managed-ID: 33225
```



```
BEGIN:VCALENDAR
VERSION:2.0
PRODID:-//Example Corp.//CalDAV Server//EN
BEGIN:VTIMEZONE
LAST-MODIFIED:20040110T032845Z
TZID:America/Montreal
BEGIN:DAYLIGHT
DTSTART:20000404T020000
RRULE:FREQ=YEARLY;BYDAY=1SU;BYMONTH=4
TZNAME:EDT
TZOFFSETFROM:-0500
TZOFFSETTO:-0400
END:DAYLIGHT
BEGIN:STANDARD
DTSTART:20001026T020000
RRULE:FREQ=YEARLY;BYDAY=-1SU;BYMONTH=10
TZNAME:EST
TZOFFSETFROM:-0400
TZOFFSETTO:-0500
END:STANDARD
END:VTIMEZONE
BEGIN:VEVENT
UID:20010712T182145Z-123401@example.com
DTSTAMP:20120201T203412Z
DTSTART;TZID=America/Montreal:20120206T100000
DURATION:PT1H
RRULE:FREQ=WEEKLY
SUMMARY:Planning Meeting
ORGANIZER:mailto:cyrus@example.com
ATTENDEE;CUTYPE=INDIVIDUAL;PARTSTAT=ACCEPTED:mailto:cyrus@exampl
e.com
ATTENDEE;CUTYPE=INDIVIDUAL;PARTSTAT=ACCEPTED:mailto:arnaudq@exam
ple.com
ATTENDEE;CUTYPE=INDIVIDUAL;PARTSTAT=NEEDS-ACTION:mailto:mike@exa
mple.com
ATTACH;MANAGED-ID=97S;FMPTYPE=text/html;SIZE=80;
FILENAME=agenda.html:https://cal.example.com/attach/65/34X22R
END:VEVENT
BEGIN:VEVENT
UID:20010712T182145Z-123401@example.com
RECURRENCE-ID;TZID=America/Montreal:20120220T100000
DTSTAMP:20120201T203412Z
DTSTART;TZID=America/Montreal:20120220T100000
DURATION:PT1H
SUMMARY:Planning Meeting
ORGANIZER:mailto:cyrus@example.com
ATTENDEE;CUTYPE=INDIVIDUAL;PARTSTAT=ACCEPTED:mailto:cyrus@example.
com
```

```
ATTENDEE;CUTYPE=INDIVIDUAL;PARTSTAT=ACCEPTED:mailto:arnaudq@exampl
e.com
ATTENDEE;CUTYPE=INDIVIDUAL;PARTSTAT=NEEDS-ACTION:mailto:mike@examp
le.com
ATTACH;MANAGED-ID=33225;FMPTYPE=text/html;SIZE=105;
FILENAME=agenda0220.html:https://cal.example.com/attach/65/FGZ225
END:VEVENT
END:VCALENDAR
```

#### Acknowledgments

This specification came about via discussions at the Calendaring and Scheduling Consortium. Thanks in particular to Mike Douglass and Eric York.

#### Authors' Addresses

Cyrus Daboo  
Apple Inc.  
1 Infinite Loop  
Cupertino, CA 95014  
United States of America

Email: [cyrus@daboo.name](mailto:cyrus@daboo.name)  
URI: <http://www.apple.com/>

Arnaud Quillaud  
Oracle Corporation  
180, Avenue de l'Europe  
Saint Ismier cedex 38334  
France

Email: [arnaud.quillaud@oracle.com](mailto:arnaud.quillaud@oracle.com)  
URI: <http://www.oracle.com/>

Kenneth Murchison (editor)  
FastMail US LLC  
1429 Walnut St, Suite 1201  
Philadelphia, PA 19102  
United States of America

Email: [murch@fastmailteam.com](mailto:murch@fastmailteam.com)  
URI: <http://www.fastmail.com/>